Improved human conditions through good governance of the region’s resources.

First Quantum Minerals Corporate Governance And Social Responsibility: KANSANSHI MINE

Photo: Stine Horn/Utenriksdepartementet
This report is published by the Southern Africa Resource Watch. Southern Africa Resource Watch (SARW) is an independent body that advocates and promotes human rights and environmental protection in resource extraction activities by monitoring corporate and state conduct in a peaceful and collaborative manner.

Published: December 2020

Southern Africa Resource Watch
President Place
1 Hood Avenue / 148 Jan Smuts Avenue (corner Bolton Road)
Rosebank
PO Box 678,
Wits
2050
Johannesburg
South Africa

www.sarwatch.org

Edited by: Claude Kabemba and Edward Lange
Design and Layout: Charcoal Ink
Cover Photography: Stine Horn/Utenriksdepartementet

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

© SARW (2020)

This publication was produced by the Southern Africa Resource Watch (SARW). Copyright is vested in SARW. This publication can be reprinted in whole or in part as long as correct attribution is followed.

Table of Contents

Abbreviations and acronyms 4
Tables and Figures 5
Acknowledgements 7
Executive Summary 8

1. Introduction
   1.1 Objectives 12
   1.2 Purpose of the study 13

2. Context and background
   2.1 Disputes 14
   2.2 Publication of the initial report 15
   2.3 Detente 16
   2.4 Mining companies very seldom accept criticism 16

3. Methodology
   3.1 Field visits 17
   3.2 Secondary data 19
   3.3 Team members’ observations 19

4. Corporate social responsibility in Zambia 19

5. First Quantum Minerals
   5.1 Establishment and expansion 23
   5.2 FQM Zambia Operations 24
   5.2.1 Sentinel Project: Kalumbila Minerals 24
   5.2.2 Kansanshi Mine 25

6. Corporate Governance
   6.1 Kansanshi’s labour relations 27
   6.2 Management composition 28
   6.3 Gender considerations 29
   6.4 Employee housing – an apartheid approach 30
7. Environmental and health impacts of Kansanshi operations 31
   7.1 Understanding the mineralogy of Kansanshi 32
   7.1.1 Brannerite and its potential impacts 32
   7.1.2 Silica and its potential impact on health 34
   7.1.3 Arsenic and its potential impact on health 35
   7.1.4 Nitrates and nitrites: potential environmental and health impacts 36
   7.1.5 Possible impact of mining activities on health 38
   7.2 Concentration, smelting and tailing dams 38
   7.2.1 Visit to the Kansanshi concentrator 38
   7.2.2 The Smelter: impact on the environment and health 39
   7.2.3 Tailing dams management, water and air quality 43
   7.2.4 Tailings and community access to water 49

8. Kansanshi’s corporate social responsibility 52
   8.1 Infrastructure 52
   8.2 Education 53
   8.2.1 Education for the poor 53
       Mushitala Primary School 53
       Makole Community School 54
       Kyafukuma School 57
       Kabwela Community School 59
   8.2.2 Education for mineworkers’ children: Kabitaka School 59
   8.2.3 Education for the rich: Sentinel and Trident Colleges 60
   8.3 Health 61
   8.4 Agriculture 62
       8.4.1 Fruit and vegetables project 62
       8.4.2 Conservation farming raises serious ethical questions 63
       8.4.3 Broiler chicken project: a diversion of attention 66
   8.5 Gender equality 66

9. The land question 67
   9.1 Displacement and compensation 67
   9.2 Critiquing the role of government 69
   9.3 Interpreting the law 69
   9.4 Community engagement and consultations 71

10. Recommendations 72
   10.1 To the government of the Republic of Zambia 72
   10.2 To FQM and other mining companies 72
   10.3 To civil society organisations 72

11. Conclusion 74

Bibliography 76
   Appendix 1: Participants and stakeholders
   List of Participants at the SARW-FQM roundtable discussion (15/4/2019) 83
   List of participants: Kyafukuma community stakeholders consultation (17/04/2019) 85
   List of key stakeholders interviewed 86
   Appendix 4: Kansanshi Mining PLC / Southern Africa Research Watch 88
   Appendix 5: Right of reply letter to FQM 90
Abbreviations and acronyms
AIDS: Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome
CEO: Chief Executive Officer
CSO: Civil Society Organisation
CSR: Corporate Social Responsibility
DEBS: District Education Board Secretary
EIA: Environmental Impact Assessment
FDI: Foreign Direct Investment
FPIC: Free, Prior Informed Consent
FQM: First Quantum Minerals
GMO: Genetically Modified Organisms
GRZ: Government of the Republic of Zambia
HAP: Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus
ICT: Information Communication and Technology
KML: Columbia Minerals Limited
MUO: Memorandum of Understanding
MRC: Medical Research Council
OECD: Organisation for Economic Corporation and Development
OVC: Orphans and Vulnerable Children
RAP: Resettlement Action Plan
RDC: Democratic Republic of Congo
SADC: Southern African Development Community
SDG: Sustainable Development Goal
SARW: Southern Africa Resource Watch
TDS: Total Dissolved Solids
UN: United Nations
UNCTAD: UN Conference on Trade and Development
UNGASS: UN General Assembly
ZCCM-IH: Zambia Consolidated Copper Mines Investment Holdings
ZEMA: Zambia Environmental Management Agency
ZESCO: Zambia Electricity Supply Corporation
ZNBC: Zambia National Broadcasting Services

Tables and Figures
Table 1: First Quantum projects, ownership and production (2018) 24
Table 2 FQM copper and gold production (2017-2018) 27
Table 3: Top management structure of FQM Zambia 29
Table 4: Causes of mortality in Solwezi District 38
Figure 1: Pollutants likely to be released during the combustion of coke and hydrocarbons 41
Figure 2: Combined SARW-FQM mine inspection of the Kansanshi smelter 41
Figure 3: Static emissions monitoring site at the sub-chief’s house 43
Figure 4: Tailings plume impact zone 44
Figures 5 and 6: Taking of samples of tailings dam 46
Figure 7: Vegetables from Kyafukuma village 46
Figure 8: The point for taking of water samples 48
Figure 9: The discharge of water into the Kifubwa River 48
Table 5: Analysis of water samples 49
Figure 10: Testing of borehole water at Kabwela Community School 50
Figure 11: Aerial view of FQM’s mining site at Kansanshi 51
Figure 12: View of Kansanshi mining site and surrounding communities 52
Figure 13: Kansanshi Foundation Education Manager at Mushitala School 54
Figure 14: Main school building for Makole Community School 56
Figure 15 and 16: The Makole Community School feeding scheme 57
Figure 17: The windows of a classroom at Kyafukuma Secondary School 58
Figure 18: The desks in a classroom at Kyafukuma Secondary School 59
Figure 19: Kyafukuma Secondary School head teacher’s house 59
Acknowledgements

This work would not have been possible without the collaborative support from different partners. For this research, SARW assembled a diverse team of experts and organisations. This report is not a SARW report, but a product of this incredible team. The team included David Van Wyk from the Bench Marks Foundation, Professor Theresa Moyo from the University of Limpopo, Claude Kabemba and Edward Lange from SARW, Professor Arthur Kaniki from the University of Lubumbashi, Dr Marriot Nyangu from the Policy and Governance Centre, Ms Ivy Mutwale from Zambia National Education Coalition, and the contributions made possible by the able leadership of Sub-chief Wangabanya of Chief Kapijimpanga.

Special commendation to the entire First Quantum management for their unwavering support, feedback and time rendered during this process of the consolidation of the final report.

We would like to thank the Ford Foundation for their ongoing support. Finally, gratitude goes to the Open Society Initiative for Southern Africa (OSISA) for its continued material and moral support for this initiative.

Tables and Figures (cont.)

Figures 20 and 21: An improvised classroom at Kabwela School 60
Table 6: School fees for Kabitaka Primary and Secondary School 61
Figure 22: SARW team visiting a farm located in a riverbed 65
Executive Summary

This is SARW’s second report on Kansanshi Mine in less than two years. The first one, entitled “Living in Parallel Universe: FQM versus communities”, was released in February 2019. First Quantum Minerals (FQM) disputed the findings of the first report, complaining that it was not consulted during data collection. After engagement in the media, both sides agreed to a second research process, and FQM agreed to avail its management to speak to SARW. This report is the result of that arrangement. The report’s key objective is to assess the company’s corporate governance and corporate social responsibilities (CSR) programme. It makes recommendations to FQM’s Kansanshi mine, the government of Zambia, and civil society on how to promote a mutually beneficial relationship between FQM’s Kansanshi mine and adjacent communities. As with the first report, FQM disputed the findings of the report. It has responded this time with a lawyers’ letter which is reproduced as an appendix to this report. The lawyers’ letter was a response from a letter from SARW, which invited FQM to respond to our report findings. It too is published as an annex to this report.

The study adopted a multifaceted methodology and approach which included the collection and reviewing of both primary and secondary data from independent researchers, company sustainability reports and the company website; non-participant observation; field visits (which included project sites); and interviews with key stakeholders (communities, company management, government agencies, civil society organisations, and organised labour) it also includes sampling and laboratory tests.

A point of agreement (possibly the only one) between FQM and SARW is that whether CSR is legislated or not, corporations have a moral obligation to invest in the welfare of surrounding communities in a way that creates positive and lasting impact. Unfortunately, the research found that FQM’s CSR interventions are neither transformative nor sustainable. FQM has shown signs of progression from a history of poor corporate governance of mining companies in Zambia, but it still falls far short of expectations, with cases of poorly conceived projects, human rights abuses, and environmental degradation.

This report appreciates and recognises the fact that FQM is a world class multinational corporation whose origins are rooted in Zambia’s Bwana Mkubwa mining project. The company mainly specialises in the production of copper, gold, nickel and zinc. In Zambia, the company operates Sentinel (Kalumbila) mine and Kansanshi Gold and Copper Mine, but the interest and focus of this study is on Kansanshi Mine Limited, which operates with five mining licenses (that expire in 2036).

In order to ascertain how conducive, the company’s structure is to enable it to execute its objectives, the study examined the different corporate governance tools used by the company. FQM is very eager to demonstrate that it has adopted corporate governance best practices, but a closer look shows that its corporate governance has serious shortcomings, firstly in the composition of the board and its sub-committees. The report finds that there is only one Zambian national on the board. Secondly, the report reveals a lot of gender and race disparities, as management is predominantly male and white, which is a huge contradiction to both the company’s claim and the national gender policy. It appears that the company’s gender inclusivity is mere rhetoric. Thirdly, the company is silent on the issue of the rights of people with disabilities in all its engagements, sustainability reports and initiatives. Fourthly, and most importantly, the housing empowerment project and the education infrastructure that Kansanshi has branded as innovative and progressive are a business undertaking in the interests of the company, and not in the best interests of the community as these initiatives segregate and create a huge gap between the rich and the poor in the surrounding community.

Like any other mining company, FQM claims total adherence to occupational health requirements, and the report finds that the company does disclose information on employees’ health. However, the mineralogy of Solwezi shows the presence of a number toxic substances such as brannerite, silica, arsenic, nitrates and nitrates. Not only does the company not declare their presence in its report, but it also does not consider the impact that these substances may have on mine-workers, the mining community, and the environment.

This report re-examines the company’s commitment to environmental management and stewardship. It reveals that there is neglect by the company, as evidenced by persistent and continuous complaints from all the surrounding com-
munities about water and air pollution. Although the government through the Zambia Environmental Management Agency (ZEMA) has commended Kansanshi for being compliant in many aspects of its statutory environmental management obligations, the company has been found not compliant with regards to stack emissions from the smelter. The report has identified several possible impacts of the company’s activities could possibly have on the health of surrounding communities; reputable research shows that the prevalence of certain diseases is higher in Solwezi than in other districts in the north-western province. This could be linked to the economic activities of the district, including mining. The proximity to mining communities of both old and new tailing dams remains a source of tension between the company and the communities, which requires real and practical answers from both the government and the company.

Kansanshi mine does a lot of things for the communities through Kansanshi Foundation, which is established, owned and operated by FQM. However, most of the projects are not empowering people. The report reveals that FQM, as part of its CSR, is implementing a number of development projects in the communities where it operates. It is not clear from the available information how much the company spent on these activities. The annual reports provide information, but do not break it down project by project or by locality and beneficiaries. The reports are also silent on the financial value of these projects. This could be counter-productive for a company that claims to be Extractive Industry Transparency Initiative (EITI) compliant.

There appear to be glaring gaps between policy and implementation. The evidence reveals disparities between what the company claims to have done for the communities and what the communities feel about the projects. These disparities can be expressed in terms of quality, impact and sustainability.

Most projects’ infrastructure for the poor mining communities is of low quality and compromised. Schools and clinics dedicated to poor communities are poorly planned with little or no hygiene, security, or environmental considerations. The contrast between schools for poor communities and the private school that Kansanshi has built for the mostly privileged students from rich families (from outside Solwezi) is reminiscent of the apartheid education system, only in this case the division is not based on race but on class. This approach takes away the value of the company’s investment and exposes its poor understanding of CSR.

The education institutions are not gifts to the community; they are paid for, income generating commodities adding to the profits of the corporation and those subcontracted to operate them. The much-publicised fruit and vegetable project cannot be classified as a CSR project because it is a payback scheme, which makes it essentially a business activity. The company’s conservation farming support to the community also reveals a lack of seriousness by the company and is characterised by unsustainability, including irregularities (farm plots located under a high voltage power line, and others located in riverbeds), and the use of genetically modified seeds. The study encountered difficulties in assessing the value of the company’s investment in the health sector due to the lack of clear focus.

The report observes the occurrence of unresolved misunderstandings and conflicts between communities and the company emanating from a number of disputes, ranging from displacements and compensation, water pollution, and cracked houses as a result of mining blasts. The reasons for this are twofold. First, there is no real platform for interaction between Kansanshi mine and the host communities. In many instances Kansanshi mine designs and executes projects on behalf of communities without adequate consultation. This patriarchal approach suggests that for Kansanshi mine, communities cannot think for themselves and do not know what they want, so most projects are conceived and initiated by FQM without consultation with the beneficiaries. Second, the report points to the absence of a grievance mechanism that would allow communities to raise their problems and grievances with the company.

Most findings in the report contradict FQM’s belief that it is doing a great job with its CSR, but one conclusion stands above others: We believe that if FQM does not use the findings of this study to improve its performance, it will simply mean it is a company that cannot improve and perform beyond its current level (which this report characterises as poor). Our hope is that FQM will use these findings to transform its CSR. In short, CSR cannot be expected to work if it is regarded by the senior management as a costly nuisance, which is the impression we got through our visit to FQM.

Based on this report, the following key recommendations have been offered:

- The Zambian government must consider introducing CSR regulations for mining companies and establish compliance mechanisms.
- QM, in the absence of the CSR regulation, must adopt a more inclusive
and participatory approach to corporate governance and CSR initiatives, taking account of people’s needs and culture.

- Civil society organisations, both local and national, must continue to partner with vulnerable and affected host communities, building confidence for self-representation and capacity enhancement.

1. Introduction

On 15 and 17 April 2019, SARW visited First Quantum Minerals (FQM) in Solwezi at the invitation of the company management. The visit was a follow-up to the February 2019 report by SARW entitled “Living in Parallel Universe: FQM versus communities”.

Zambia is endowed with minerals (such as copper, gold, cobalt, manganese, uranium and coal) which have attracted exploration by both local and foreign investors, creating a scramble for both land and minerals in the north western region of Zambia, and in particular Solwezi, the provincial capital where FQM is located. The company was established in 1996 and began its first mining operations in Zambia after obtaining the Bwana Mkubwa mining license to mine copper.

This study was motivated by the perceived development role FQM plays in Solwezi and its association with high-level foreign direct investment (FDI) in the mining sector in the past 15 years or so. Although it is generally acknowledged that FDI can play a positive role in the development of a country through its contribution to foreign currency reserves, tax revenue, overall economic growth and social development, there are concerns about the direct impact of some investments on communities. Questions have been raised about the extent to which FDI initiatives are contributing to the uplifting of the welfare of the communities within which they operate. Whilst such questions are always raised about the impact of mining companies in Africa, they are posed with greater urgency in a country which is one of the oldest on the continent in terms of mining.

While this report focuses on FQM, the findings can be applied to all mining companies operating in Zambia (without exception). The study was also motivated as a follow up on the report on South African mining companies corporate social responsibility (CSR) in Southern Africa undertaken in 2010 and 2012, and the study of Chinese-owned companies in Southern Africa. The two studies revealed that the Chinese and South African companies operating in Zambia were involved in human rights abuses, and that their CSR was of poor quality. This time we thought it would be good to look at a western company, and FQM was selected.

1.1 Objectives

Under the impact of global corporate restructuring it is becoming increasingly necessary for civil society organisations, when assessing the prospects of a company, to go below the financial bottom line and examine the social and environmental policies and practices of the enterprise.

The key objectives of the study were:
- to assess the impact of FQM’s Kansanshi Mine social investment on communities within which the mine is operating;
- to examine how the company is mitigating the adverse effects of its activities on employees, local communities, and the environment;
- to make appropriate recommendations to both FQM and the government of Zambia on how to promote a mutually beneficial relationship between FQM’s Kansanshi Mine and the environment within which it operates.

1.2 Purpose of the study

This study intends to contribute to the promotion of sustainable coexistence between FQM and its immediate communities. It is an international norm to have companies reach out to the communities in their areas of operation through CSR programmes. However, developing mutually beneficial relationships remains a challenge. The report wants to contribute to sustainable mining through good corporate governance and social responsibility.

Mining companies, whether through legislation, international norms or simply their social license to operate, have the responsibility to create harmony with the communities and protect the environment. Mining companies also have to deal with governance, health and safety of their employees, sharing of benefits with government and local communities, water management and use, pollution of soil, air and water, displacement of people, impacts on the livelihoods of local communities, local economic development, and landscapes and biodiversity both during and after operations (commonly referred to as legacy challenges). While there are some encouraging examples of good practice of CSR in different parts...
of the world, in Africa in general (and Zambia in particular) considerable efforts are still needed across the board to improve the understanding and application of CSR. A well-regulated and implemented CSR programme can contribute enormously to the development of the local economy and the sustainable development goals (SDGs) in a rural environment.

The investment in Solwezi’s greenfield mines creates fresh hope that Zambia will not repeat the experience of the Copperbelt and its accompanying negative legacy issues. There was initial euphoria around greenfield mining investments, with national and international civil society expressing interest in the new Eldorado Project. This report’s investigation came at a time when the euphoria had subsided and many civil society organisations, both national and international, had withdrawn from the area. The research was conducted to analyse the real impact of the mining investments on mining communities around Kansanshi Copper and Gold Mine in Solwezi.

2. Context and background

This is SARW’s second report on Kansanshi Mine, after the first one entitled “Living in Parallel Universe: FQM versus communities” in February 2019. The first report found a lot of inconsistencies between FQM’s policies on sustainability and the experiences of the host communities. The report revealed that FQM had implemented CSR in the sectors of education, health agriculture. The investments in the communities were found to be inadequate and did not achieve transformation.

The first study report was merely exploratory. Further studies were planned to provide a more detailed understanding of the operations of Kansanshi Mine.

2.1 Disputes

Following the publication of the first report, FQM disputed the findings and engaged in a public relations exercise to discredit SARW’s research. FQM mainly argued that it was not properly consulted in the data collection, and did not raise any serious concerns about the contents of the report.

Despite SARW’s numerous attempts to reach out to FQM in Lusaka and its head office in Toronto during the field visit, FQM refused to engage in the research. Initially, FQM’s Government Affairs Manager at the time, Mr John Gladstone, agreed to SARW’s request for an interview on the company’s CSR programme but later backtracked. SARW went ahead with the research and met with civil society organisations, the area councillor at the time (Mr Edward Samwata), and mining communities in Mushitala, Muzabula and Kabwela. The report reflected the views of these stakeholders and observations made by SARW regarding the physical infrastructure provided by FQM to the communities. Civil society organisations and affected communities were sceptical about FQM’s contribution and the effect of the company’s CSR practices in affected communities.

During the writing up of the research, SARW again approached FQM for comments on the findings but the company was reluctant to engage directly on the matter, instead directing SARW to the sustainability reports posted on its website. Even after requesting the company to respond to a questionnaire, the head office in Toronto would only courier three sustainability reports to SARW’s office. Apart from the evidence of aloofness that was displayed by the company, such an approach to external enquiry is likely to be counterproductive in the long run. At the very least, it distorts the methodology (and hence the likely findings) of any research completed and published, to the possible detriment of the company. It also raises the question of whether a company has something to hide, and whether it prioritises the publicity value of CSR over the reality of implementation.

2.2 Publication of the initial report

Before publishing the initial report, in January 2019, SARW shared a draft with Kansanshi Mine and the Planning, Policy and Research Directorate of the Zambian Ministry of Mines and Minerals Development. SARW also shared the draft with FQM, whose response did not warrant changing the content of the report. Apart from the evidence of aloofness that was displayed by the company, such an approach to external enquiry is likely to be counterproductive in the long run. At the very least, it distorts the methodology (and hence the likely findings) of any research completed and published, to the possible detriment of the company.

The event was attended by Zambian civil society activists and Solwezi community members. Fifteen minutes before the launch, an FQM delegation arrived and attempted to stop the event, threatening court action against SARW. SARW argued that the findings were a correct reflection of what was heard and

1. North Western Agenda for Development, Youth Alliance for Development, Civil Society for Poverty Reduction, Caritas Zambia and Zambia Land Alliance.
seen, and that there was no exaggeration or misrepresentation on its part. SARW refused to consent to this attempt to stifle the report, arguing that the company had refused opportunities to participate during the research process. SARW proceeded with launching the report, inviting FQM to respond publicly to the findings. The company refused.

After the launch, a summary of the report was published in the media. FQM responded through a number of its partners (local and international) and its public relations and communications consultancy firms Suma Systems and Langmead & Baker Communications, attempting to undermine the credibility of SARW. SARW responded to these attacks and allegations by publishing its version of what had transpired and the main findings of the report.

2.3 Detente

SARW suggested that a second investigation be carried out, giving FQM management another opportunity to participate. FQM agreed to the meeting with certain conditions, which included that SARW remove the first report from its website and stop referring to the report. FQM also proposed to cover SARW’s field research cost, an offer that SARW declined. SARW reluctantly agreed to remove the report from circulation, removing it from SARW’s website and not tweeting any of its content. This was done to show to FQM and its partners that SARW’s intention has never been to ruin company’s image.

These conditions paved the way for SARW’s second visit to the company’s Kansanshi Mine to conduct a second round of research, whose findings appear in this report. Regrettably, SARW has again been met with a hostile response in the form of threatening lawyers’ letter.

2.4 Mining companies very seldom accept criticism

SARW has observed that mining companies do not take kindly to being investigated. Over the years, SARW has investigated a number of companies’ CSR programmes in Southern Africa. In 2010, SARW carried out a large investigation of South African mining companies’ governance and corporate responsibility in the Southern African Development Community (SADC) region. The investigation covered the following companies: Ruashi mining (Metorex) in the DRC, Chibuluma (Metorex) in Zambia, Zimplats and Mimosa in Zimbabwe, and Sasol in Mozambique. Like FQM, these companies initially rejected SARW’s research findings, claiming that they had not been consulted. This was despite SARW’s efforts to engage the management of all companies involved. After tense interaction, SARW was invited by the companies for a second research visit. Findings confirmed the initial results and further exposed new issues and problems. The results were shared with the management of all the companies involved at a meeting in South Africa. Some of the companies (such as Chibuluma in Zambia and Zimplats in Zimbabwe) adopted and implemented a number SARW’s recommendations. SARW now enjoys good working relationships with the companies that were part of the research.

3. Methodology

The compilation of this report employed a multiple methodological approach which included data collected from field visits (jointly done with Kansanshi management and independent persons), analysis of secondary materials from both independent researchers and company reports, non-participant observation and included sampling and laboratory tests.

3.1 Field visits

While SARW’s first visit consisted of two people, the second comprised an eighteen-member team to cover all aspects of the assessment over four days.

- On the first day the SARW team met the FQM management team for presentations and discussions. The FQM team was led by the Public Relations Manager for Kansanshi, Mr Godfrey Msisika, and comprised of Environmental Manager Mr Arnold Malambo, Health Services Coordinator Ms Gertrude Musunka and Corporate Social Responsibility Manager and Director of Kansanshi Foundation Mr Bruce Lewis. Discussions were robust and frank and included all technical aspects such as processing of flotation, smelters, tail-
The first communication was presented by Mr. Godfrey Phillip Msiska, the Public Relations Manager of FQM. It dealt with FQM's general presentation and specifically that concerning the Kansanshi Mine facilities. In his presentation, it can be seen that Mr. Msiska provided the history of FQM's mining activities at Kansanshi, the statistics of copper production, the operating costs with their upward trend, as well as some figures regarding the distribution of cash-flow and the company's contribution towards community development. The third communication was that of Mrs. Gertrude Musunka, FQM's Consultant on health plans and projects. This communication gave a general overview of the activities of FQM-Kansanshi Mining in the field of health, centred around the following issues: Why is FQM getting involved in the field of health, and where and how is it getting involved? The presentation gave statistics in terms of the involvement of the population and in terms of the growth of certain pathologies, including sexually transmitted diseases (particularly HIV/AIDS). The fourth and final communication was that of Mr. Arnold Malambo, manager in charge of the social projects in terms of monitoring the environmental impact of FQM's activities. He gave some results with reference to the concentration of dust in the air, emphasising that FQM operates under conditions of “zero dumping” – in other words, no waste is released into the external environment.

The second day was set aside for field visits led by the FQM team. The research team split into two, with one visiting the social projects, and the other visiting the mine. The social projects visited included Conservation Farming, Mushitila Combined School, Makole Community School, Kabitaka Primary and Secondary School, the Golf Estate and Trident College. The mine visit team went to the flotation plant, the smelter, and the tailing dams. SARW was interested in these three parts of the mine because of their potential impact on the environment (pollution of air and water).

On the third day, the teams again divided in two, with one undertaking independent visits to projects outside those that had been visited the previous day. SARW took the opportunity to collect independent views of communities without FQM influence. Despite heavy rains and bad roads, one team was able to visit and interview community members around the Kansanshi mine, including Muzabula Conservation Farming, Kyafukuma Boreholes, Kyafukuma Conservation Farming, Kyafukuma Community Hall, Kyafukuma Community Health Center, Kyafukuma Primary and Secondary School, Kabwela Community School, Kabwela Community Health Centre, and Kabwela community gardens.

The second team was not able to conduct an independent inspection of tailing dams due to the company's security requirements. The team was accompanied by FQM Corporate Social Responsibility Manager and Director of Kansanshi Foundation, Mr. Bruce Lewis.

The fourth day was allocated for collection of water samples for testing. Besides these four days, SARW organised another visit to Lusaka to interact with key government ministries and civil society organisations, including the Ministry of Mines and Minerals Development, Action Aid Zambia, Norwegian Church Aid Joint Country Program, Alliance for Community Action, USAID's Counterpart International, the Human Rights Commission, the Zambia Environmental Management Agency (ZEMA), and the Zambia Chamber of Mines.

3.2 Secondary data
SARW perused a number of historical and policy documents such as FQM sustainability reports, published research, civil society reports, ZEMA reports, FQM's website as well as the company's published and unpublished reports. SARW's team also studied independent geological, hydrological, economic and social reports on Solwezi.

3.3 Team members’ observations
In addition to speaking to respondents, team members made their own independent observations of the environment in and around the mine. In research, non-participant observation is an acceptable method of data collection.

4. Corporate social responsibility in Zambia
The concept of corporate social responsibility (CSR) has been studied many times. However, the practice of CSR continues to be problematic and the interpretation differs from one corporation to another, from one society to another. One area of contestation is whether CSR should be regulated or not. Many governments in Africa have started regulating CSR in order to standardise the quality of investment. Zambia has not done so, although there has been an ongoing debate around the subject between CSOs and the Chamber of Mines, through the Canadian High Commission. The Zambian government has been reluctant to regulate it by way of developing a national CSR strategy.

Zambia has no legal enforcement mechanism for CSR, and as a result most mining houses are not fulfilling their CSR obligations and commitments. Lack of regulation of CSR in Zambia means that companies such as FQM have no legal obliga-
At the heart of CSR is accountability: accountability of mining companies (and notably their boards), not just to their shareholders, but to wider stakeholders including their employees, the host government, affected communities, and (in an impersonal sense) to the global community through their impact on the environment. No longer can it be said that the business of business is merely business. If there is any such thing as responsible capitalism, then CSR is the link that helps companies to serve collective welfare beyond their pursuit of maximum profit. CSR might be a relatively new concept, but the impetus behind it — a recognition of the wisdom as well as the inherent virtue of a devotion to social justice — is nothing new. History shows that there has long been:

a wider recognition amongst certain capitalist strata that ‘fair’ treatment of workers and their families (communities) was not only just, but that it was wise, and that the survival of industrial capitalism required a social accommodation with the working class if radical and socialist challenges were to be diverted.

There has been a proliferation of international norms and standards in accordance with this recognition. This means that in the absence of national laws or regulations on CSR (as in Zambia), companies are guided by international norms and standards, and by the company’s own moral fabric. Companies are expected to adhere to global labour, social and environmental standards while responding to local pressure from state and society. CSR fulfils a vital public relations role, persuading company executives themselves, shareholders and other stakeholders that a firm is operating responsibly. First Quantum Minerals itself recognises these international norms and standards.

From an ethical point of view, social responsibility refers to behaviours and activities expected of or prohibited by organisational members, the community and society. These behaviours and activities may or may not be codified as law. Ethical issues have to do with what is fair or just. For Lord Holmes and Richard Watts (200), Corporate Social Responsibility is the continuing commitment by business to behave ethically and contribute to economic development while improving the quality of life of the workforce and their families as well as of the local community and society at large.

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights of 1948 guarantees dignity and equality for all. This also forms the basis for freedom, justice and peace across the world.

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights calls on every organ of society to guarantee, by progressive measure, that human rights be recognised and complied with. Corporations, as organs of society, are under obligation to comply wherever they may be operating in the world.
When it comes to environmental obligations, at the Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro in 1992, the United Nations adopted the Rio Declaration on the environment and development, and Agenda 21. It deals partly with the responsibilities of corporations regarding the effects of their processes, products and services on the quality of air, water, soil, climate, biodiversity, biosafety and health. After the Rio Declaration, various protocols have been signed, such as the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety (2000), the Kyoto Protocol, and the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (2001). The OECD has also passed guidelines for multinational enterprises. Every human being has the right to the highest possible standard of health. Even though the signatories to international treaties on health are member states, corporations have an obligation to enforce the right to health. Similarly, corporations’ obligations include: ensuring access to a minimum of food, a basic level of shelter and sanitation and an adequate supply of safe drinking water; non-discrimination in ensuring the right to access to health facilities, goods and services and providing education and access to information on the community’s main health issues, including methods for preventing ill health and checking whether appropriate measures are taken (Chapman, AR, 2010).

According to Dr Jewette H Masinja, global standards such as these are adequate but limited and not enforceable at the local level. Many African countries often relax their own regulations or disregard international standards to attract foreign investment and create what is now commonly known as a conducive “invest friendly” environment for such investment. They wrongly believe that relaxing labour laws, environmental laws and tax and royalty policy will attract real investment.

Many of the social gains conceded to labour and to communities through these regulations are being ruthlessly eroded by the relaxations forced upon African governments as the only way to attract foreign investment. It is this phenomenon which has driven African governments to become involved in what has been termed “a race to the bottom” as they try to outcompete each other to attract foreign investment by offering favourable conditions to investors.

In the current situation, where the Zambian government is in no position to provide full social services to its entire population, it is clear that CSR can fill in some of the holes left by the withdrawal (or failure) of government social services, and provide for the wider social conditions of life (in terms of education and health etc). These conditions are conducive to overall profitability (as unhappy, uneducated and unhealthy workforces may prove as inefficient and unproductive as they are intractable) and securitisation of investment.

5. First Quantum Minerals

5.1 Establishment and expansion

First Quantum Minerals Ltd is a Canadian mining company, established in 1996 by Philip Pascall, Martin Rowley and Clive Newall to develop the Bwana project, one of Zambia’s oldest mines, acquired by FQM from the government at the time of privatisation. From a humble beginning with only a license to reprocess the waste or tailings from other mines, the company has now grown to having assets and operations in nine countries on five continents, and is currently among the world’s top ten copper producers which engage in extensive mineral exploration, development and mining. The company mainly produces copper, gold, nickel and zinc (FQM, 2012). It has finally opened the world-class Cobre Panama project. The table below (Table 1) provides a list of FQM projects, ownership and production figures.

Table 1: First Quantum projects, ownership and production (2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project/ Mine</th>
<th>Shares</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>2018 Production</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kansanshi Mine and Smelter</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>Copper (251 522 tonnes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gold (130 019 ounces)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentinel Mine</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>Copper (223 656 tonnes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guelb Moghrein</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Mauritania</td>
<td>Copper (28 137 tonnes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gold (45 974 ounces)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Magnetite concentrate (425 389 WMT)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A number of principles have emerged from these protocols, such as:

1. The principle of preventive action (Art. 174 (130 R, section 2) EC Treaty)
2. The precautionary principle (Rio Declaration, Art.15 and Art. 174 (130 R, section 2) EC Treaty)
3. Tackling environmental damage at the source (Art. 174 (130 R, section 2) EC Treaty)
4. The polluter pays’ principle (Rio Declaration, Art. 16, Art. 174 (130 R, section 2) EC Treaty)

Dr Jewette H Masinja is an accomplished Zambian mining expert and academician, who worked as the ZCCM Head of Group Environmental Services (1984-1998), and Permanent Secretary from 1998 to 2002. He prepared the Zambian Government Mines and Minerals (Environmental) Regulations governing environmental protection in the mining sector in Zambia, and currently works at the University of Zambia as a Lecturer in Metallurgy and Mineral Processing (2006 to date).

8 According to Chapman, AR (2010), many African countries often relax their own regulations or disregard international standards to attract foreign investment and create what is now commonly known as a conducive “invest friendly” environment for such investment.

9 Dr Jewette H Masinja is an accomplished Zambian mining expert and academician, who worked as the ZCCM Head of Group Environmental Services (1984-1998), and Permanent Secretary from 1998 to 2002. He prepared the Zambian Government Mines and Minerals (Environmental) Regulations governing environmental protection in the mining sector in Zambia, and currently works at the University of Zambia as a Lecturer in Metallurgy and Mineral Processing (2006 to date).
5.2.2 Kansanshi Mine

The company’s Kansanshi mine, which is located 8km north of Solwezi, is one of the oldest mines in Zambia and the largest copper and gold mine in Africa according to information on the company’s website profile. It is owned and operated by Kansanshi Mining PLC which is 80 per cent a subsidiary of First Quantum (FQM, 2018). The remaining 20 per cent is owned by Zambia Consolidated Copper Mine Investment Holdings (ZCCM-IH).

Mining is carried out in two open pits (main and northwest) using conventional open-pit methods and employing hydraulic excavators and a fleet of haul trucks (FQM, 2018). Oxide ore is treated via crushing, milling, flotation, leaching and the SX/EW process to produce a sulphuric and gold bearing flotation concentrate as well as electro-won cathode copper (FQM Profile, 2018). The oxide treatment capacity was increased to 14.5 million tonnes per annum with the installation of equipment from Bwana Mkubwa copper SX/EW plant in 2012 and the commissioning of additional leach, solvent extraction, electro-winning and CCD thickeners during 2013 and 2014.

Kansanshi crushes about 2 500 tonnes of ore per hour, which then moves from the crusher to the smelter. Currently, it has two licensed operating tailings storage facilities (TSF). TSF1 is a cross-valley type dam situated at the head of a small tributary stream inside the mining license area. This dam was originally designed to provide enough tailings storage capacity for the first 16 years of mine life at a production rate of between 6 and 8 million tonnes per annum, and eventually cover an area of approximately 6.5 km² (FQM, 2018).

Gold recovery by gravity was expanded by the addition of four new gravity concentrators in April 2010, thus providing two concentrators per milling train, and increasing gold recovery from all ore types (FQM, 2018). Gemini tables were installed to treat the gravity concentrates and produce a high-grade concentrate for direct smelting to gold bullion (FQM, 2018).

All surface rights necessary to develop and operate the project have been obtained and include four leases governing in excess of 7 000 hectares, which secure access to active mining areas.
Kansanshi Mine Limited (KML) is the holder of five large-scale mining licences, for which current terms run to April 2036. 15868 HQ-LML covers the Sentinel deposit, processing plant and supporting infrastructure, whilst 15869 HQ-LML covers the Enterprise deposit. 15870 HQ-LML, 15871 HQ-LML and 15872 HQ-LML cover exploration areas, sites for project.

In terms of production, Table 2 provides 2017 and 2018 information from the Zambian government.

Table 2: FQM copper and gold production (2017-2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Copper Production (tonnes)</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mine</td>
<td>250 803.96</td>
<td>249 532.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansanshi Mining</td>
<td>190 913.23</td>
<td>223 655.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kansanshi Gold Production (Kg)</th>
<th>4 564.43</th>
<th>3 730.15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>


When FQM acquired Kansanshi Mine the gold price was less than US$400 per ounce and the company is currently producing at a price greater than US$1300 per ounce (Gold Price Hub, 2019). SARW questions the fact that the major part of the presentation by FQM was devoted to the projections for copper production with a downward trend, and the prediction of an increase in production costs. They emphasised that, in the feasibility study, the drop in production and the increase in production costs is data which was known in advance and which was taken into account at the time of the decision to invest in a mining operation. SARW is concerned over the lack of transparency in terms of disclosure of the cost of production of copper by First Quantum. Why the secrecy? Why is FQM unable to provide such important information.

Equally, FQM managers during our engagement repeatedly complained about the low-grade of ore and the escalating costs of production, creating the impression that Kansanshi is a marginal mine and that FQM is doing Zambia a favour by mining there.

6. Corporate Governance

The King Report on corporate governance defines corporate governance as “simply the system by which companies are directed and controlled” (King I, 1994: 1). It relates more specifically to the structures and processes associated with management, decision-making and control in organisations. Tricker (1984: 7) describes corporate governance processes in terms of four principal activities: direction – formulation of strategic direction for the future of the company; executive action – involvement in crucial executive decisions; supervision – monitoring and overseeing of management performance; and accountability – recognising responsibilities to those making a legitimate demand for accountability. It can then be said that corporate governance provides the structure through which the objectives of the company are set, and how the means of attaining those objectives and monitoring performance are determined.

6.1 Kansanshi’s labour relations

The mine has created about 5000 direct and indirect jobs for Zambians. According to SARW’s assessment, most of the skilled labour does not come from the surrounding Solwezi District, due to lack of availability of the required skills. Unlike in South Africa, in Zambia the racial composition of the labour force and management is not recorded.

Six per cent of Kansanshi’s directly employed staff at the time of data compilation was expatriate and all in management and supervisory positions. SARW raised questions regarding silicosis, cancer and tuberculosis (TB). These questions are pertinent to the labour force employed by FQM. However, much of its health impact on employees will be hidden by the fact that many of them are contract workers, who by all accounts do not have access to company health services and therefore would not reflect in company health and other statistics. Respondents to the International Organisation for Migration Research complained that the Kansanshi mine emergency clinic is only accessible to full-time mine employees and not to contractors (ILO, 2016). According to the Zambian Chamber of Mines, Kansanshi employs 4781 (56,2%) mineworkers directly, and another 3731 (43,8%) indirectly through sub-contractors and labour brokers (Meeting with Zambia Chamber of Mines May, 2019). The sub-contracted labour would not share the same union, housing, pension and health benefits as direct employees, and their health and well-being would not be reflected in company statistics.
6.2 Management composition

Zambian operations do not have separate websites, but are reflected on the company’s global website. Local management names or positions are not cited on the website. According to LinkedIn, the company’s local top management structure is as reflected in Table 3:

Table 3: Top management structure of FQM Zambia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Local/Expatriate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kingsley Chinkuli</td>
<td>FQM Zambia Country Manager</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudi Badenhorst</td>
<td>Kansanshi General Manager</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Expatriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthony Mukutuma</td>
<td>Kansanshi Assistant General Manager</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Davey</td>
<td>Chief Mining Engineer</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Expatriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Weston</td>
<td>Supply Manager</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Expatriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etene Haasbroek</td>
<td>Drill and Blast Supervisor</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Expatriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Trembath</td>
<td>Engineering and Maintenance Manager</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Expatriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Corken</td>
<td>Agricultural Manager</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Expatriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Lewis</td>
<td>Corporate Social Responsibility Manager</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Expatriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Godfrey Msiska</td>
<td>Public Relations Manager</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Maimbo Silimi</td>
<td>Human Resources Manager</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Linkedin.

The top management is predominantly male, foreign, and white. This is not good for the image of the company. During the course of finalising the report, FQM appointed a new general manager who is a Zambian national, replacing Mr David de Vries. SARW responded to the change. The question arises whether FQM is unwilling or unable to find capable Zambians to lead the company?^{12}

Philip KR Pascall is the global CEO of FQM and his relative Matt Pascall was until recently the Director of Operations of FQM in Zambia (Mining, Energy and Industry, 2014). Should any African government or company decide to employ the close relative of a head of state or CEO of a company in a senior position, the charge of nepotism would be splashed all over the media.

Matt Pascall left Zambia under a cloud of local discontent as reported by the Lusaka Times as a result of his ‘public letter of departure’:

Reading his farewell message, Pascall finds it ‘odd’ that the most rewarding part of his experience in Zambia is the Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) aspect of his job. For a leader who has the right set of values, corporate or otherwise, there should not be anything ‘odd’ about finding fulfilment in corporate social responsibilities.

As a matter of fact, a true transformational leader finds fulfilment in the impact she or he has on all stakeholders, especially employees and the surrounding community. It is abundantly clear that Pascall is a transactional leader who instinctively cares about the bottom line, trying his hardest to pay lip service to CSR. (Patriotic Zambian Miner, 2019)

The employment code (part three) emphasises the need for giving priority to Zambians when there is a vacancy of employment in any given establishment “Subject to the other provisions of this Act relating to the employment of an expatriate, an employer shall, in filling an employment vacancy, employ a citizen, except where a citizen does not possess the skills required for that job or a citizen does not apply for that job.” (GRZ.2019) This piece of legislation clearly outlines the procedure and reasons for employing an expatriate.

6.3 Gender considerations

During their presentations, the company representatives placed great emphasis on the claim that the company favours women in their job appointments, training and other programmes. It indicated that “with modern technology, there is no job on a mine that a woman cannot do.” However, in a detailed study about gender representation at Kansanshi Rodrick Bwalya found that “Annual human resources statistics for the company study revealed that in 2006, the total formal workforce was 502. Of this number women accounted for 32 (6.4 %) and 470 (93.6 %) was accounted for by men. In 2014, the total workforce at the time of the study was 2, 560. Of this total workforce, women constituted 160 (6.3 %) compared to 2, 400 (93.7 %) males” (Bwalya, 2015). It would be interesting to see if FQM Kansanshi can provide the latest gender statistics for its workforce, and if the gender imbalances have significantly changed in the meantime.

^{12} Most big mining companies in Zimbabwe are fully managed by Zimbabweans. Why is a company like First Quantum unable to find qualified Zambians to manage the company?
Considering that there is no woman in the management, the company clearly does not have an adequate gender mainstreaming policy. The responses provided by the management demonstrated a lack of basic understanding of gender mainstreaming concepts as well as tools that can be utilised by the company for its human resources strategy. It is of great concern that a company of this importance does not adequately pursue gender mainstreaming as one of its key vehicles for social investment through its workforce. There is absolutely no reference to any policy concerning disabled people in any of the company’s corporate social responsibility reports and discussions. Disabled people were not mentioned in any of our discussions with the company managers during the field visit.

### 6.4 Employee housing – a discriminatory approach

FQM should be commended for having an employee housing policy. Providing proper family housing is one of the most effective ways to contain HIV/AIDS and other epidemics.

In August 2012 FQM committed to construct 3500 houses in Solwezi (ZNBC. 2012, August 5). FQM employs 4781 permanent staff and 3731 contract workers, making a total of 8512 workers at Kansanshi mine. If FQM meets its housing commitment, that still leaves 5012 employees without company accommodation.

FQM prides itself on having built a new town for its workers, called Kabitaka Hills. Of the 3500 houses promised it has so far only constructed 600 (Zambia Daily Mail, 8 September 2014) as well as 86 houses in the exclusive Kalumbila Golf Estate (although these belong to the company). The houses in Kabitaka Hills belong to the workers who qualify for loans to purchase them. Rather than being a corporate social responsibility initiative, this is a business opportunity for FQM. Rita Kesselring describes Kabitaka as “just another enclave settlement for middle income employees of FQM, who are supposed to purchase the houses but are unable to afford the bank loan conditions” (Kesselring, R. 2017).

If Kabitaka is for middle managers, where do the workers live? They live in Solwezi. The Centre for International Migration Southern African Mining Sector Report (2010) in referring to Solwezi indicates a town with a rapidly expanding population, struggling to cope with housing, water reticulation, sewage, road and other infrastructure demands. This includes housing for mineworkers. The authors report finding as many as 18 workers living in a single room (International Organisation for Migration of Migrants and Mobile Populations in Southern Africa, 2010).

In Solwezi, the stark contrast between rich and poor is glaring. Compare the poverty seen when passing through the mining communities’ houses and the sprawling surroundings of the gated communities of Kabitaka and the exclusive gated community of Kansanshi Golf Estate (where the managers live).

Kabitaka and the Golf Estate are enclaves where outsiders are fenced out. Security is tight, visits must be bureaucratically approved, and taking photos without permission is an offence.

The Kansanshi Golf Estate is where I started my time here. It’s where the majority of Mine Managers live and where the Prep school is situated. It includes a Club House and restaurant; gym with pool, tennis courts and squash courts; bowling courts; cricket pitch; and of course, a golf course. It’s a beautiful little bubble and includes some impala, sable and a few other antelope breeds. We used to have a small herd of zebra, but they have been recently moved. The housing here is provided and life is quite comfortable. It is controlled by gate access and members must sign in their visitors. It also features few dams which provide good catch and release fishing for the various competitions they hold. There are also annual cycling and sports competitions. (Kesselring, R. 2017).

### 7. Environmental and health impacts of Kansanshi operations

SARW essentially sought to know to what extent Kansanshi Mining Company was complying with the environmental rules, laws and regulations, and the environmental licensing conditions issued by government.

Despite the company assurance of “zero discharge” from its operation, there are persistent and sustained complaints from the communities of dust, air and water pollution from the mine.

SARW had discussions with FQM-Kansanshi management on the impact of its mining activities on the environment and the local people. During the presentations, Kansanshi reaffirmed that its operations involve zero discharge and that there are no environmental or health concerns. Kansanshi management is convinced that it continues to demonstrate environmental stewardship through various initiatives aimed at environmental compliance and best practice.

---


14 Our visit to Kabitaka also revealed there was a dispute between property developers of the suburb and the local community who were displaced to make way for the housing project.
Meanwhile the Report of the Office of the Auditor-General on the Management of Environmental Degradation Caused by Mining Activities in Zambia, confirms that mining companies (although it does not name them) are not complying with the environmental rules, laws, regulations and environmental licensing conditions set by government (OAG Management of Environmental Degradation, July, 2014). To understand the potential negative impact of Kansanshi operations on the health of people (workers and communities) and the environment, one needs to first understand the mineralogy of Solwezi and how minerals are treated. SARW invested in understanding the mineralogy of Solwezi to know what type of problems mining operations could potentially have on the environment.

7.1 Understanding the mineralogy of Kansanshi

According to the FQM reports, the company extracts only gold and copper at its Kansanshi operation. We know that both gold and copper extraction can have an impact on the environment and on the health of people. However, beyond these two commodities, the mineralogy of Kansanshi shows the existence of other substances that might be extracted in the process of extracting gold and copper. These include brannerite, silica, arsenic, nitrates and nitrates. Several research reports confirm the presence of these toxic minerals at Kansanshi, but FQM does not refer to these substances in its sustainability reports or on its website.

If these substances end up in the tailing dams, and if not properly treated, they can have serious negative impacts in the long run on the environment and on the health of communities living near the mine. If these minerals are part of the mineralogy of Kansanshi, the company must answer two questions:

- Why does it not report on these substances, which are dangerous to the environment and hazardous to the health of communities?
- What measures does the company have in place to mitigate the impact of these substances when released into the environment?

7.1.1 Brannerite and its potential impacts

This refers to the chemical formula: (U4+, Ca) (Ti, Fe3+) 2O6, uranium calcium titanium iron oxide; class: oxides and hydroxides. Several expert reports (Eglinger, et al., 2012) from a number of universities and institutions, including one report by a former manager of Kansanishi mine and the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA, 1979) indicate the presence of brannerite in the Solwezi dome (Gregory J, Journet N, Cameron A and Titley M, 2012, and Gregory J, Journet N, Cameron A and Hanssen G, 2010)36. However, there is no mention of this mineral in the public reports of First Quantum. The company insists that it only mines copper and gold from the sulphidic and oxide formations (FQM, 2019)18 at Kansanshi (note that brannerite is an oxide).

It is impossible to take out the copper and gold without also removing the brannerite. If the brannerite is not processed and sold, it most likely ends up in Kansanshi’s tailings. The uranium in this mineral will decay and produce radon gas. Radon (the second leading cause of lung cancer) is a naturally occurring radioactive gas. You cannot see or smell radon. Testing is the only way to know your level of exposure.

Radon-induced lung cancer costs the United States over $2 billion dollars per year in both direct and indirect health care costs (Jaromir Kolejka, 2002). If Brannerite ends up in the Kansanshi tailings, it will be important to determine wind direction from the tailings and the extent of dust pollution from those tailings for communities. There is no reference to this in the FQM air quality reports. Brannerite could also impact on water quality. In South Africa, cerebral palsy is widespread among communities living in the proximity of mine tailings (van Wyk, 2017). We also need to find out about the extent of lung cancer and other cancers in the communities.

Glencore, operating in Kolwezi in the DRC, announced in November 2018 that it had suddenly “discovered” that some of its cobalt was radioactive (Reuters 2018, November 9). Both the DRC government and Glencore had for years denied the presence of uranium in the ores exported by Glencore, even though Wikileaks exposed the illegal shipping of uranium to Iran through Dar es Salaam from sources in the DRC (Biorger & Karen, 2010). Big corporations are often less than honest about what and how much they mine. During our discussion with Kansanshi management, none of the FQM managers spoke about the presence of brannerite in their presentations to us19.

---

18 https://www.first-quantum.com/English/our-operations/default.aspx
19 Meeting with Kansanshi on 15/04/2019, Solwezi.
20 In any case various studies, including the mine’s own, contradict this. “The dominant vein orientation in the Main Zone is a north-south set of metre-scale veins that have been traced over a strike length of up to 1.8 km, and were exploited in the old underground workings. The vein mineralogy is predominantly quartz-carbonate-sulphide with occasional molybdenite and magnetite. A secondary set, with a similar mineralogy, are oriented NNE-SSW, distinctly crosscutting the north-south veining and merging with them in places. A third, and final vein set occurs as spatially limited radial veins around the central dome of the Main Zone mineralisation, and are only present on the western side of the dome where they appear to be restricted to the Mid dike Mixed Clastic tectono-stratigraphic unit (Gregory et al., 2010; 2012). The final two vein sets are chalcopyrite rich and contain minor molybdenite, while the third has relatively abundant molybdenite with significant molybdenite, brannerite and minor chalcopyrite (Teremadza et al., 2005).” And, “The veins are characterized by chalcopyrite pyrrhotite-pyrite, with minor to trace amounts of brannerite, monazite, uraninite, pitchblende, molybdenite and rare beryl.”
What was puzzling was that the presenters indicated that they do regular radiation and radon readings, yet they denied the presence of uranium or any other radioactive minerals in the mineralogy of the mine. Why test for something that is not present in the mineralogy? Do they also test for radon in the community? Are employees informed of its dangers?

7.1.2 Silica and its potential impact on health

Silica is widely present in the mineralogy of Kansanshi Mine (Jacobs T.T, 2016). Although the mine is no longer an underground operation, the loading and transportation of ore in the pits and to the smelter and processing plants could expose loaders, drivers, general workers and the public to silica-containing dust which could give rise to silicosis. Although FQM mentions silica in its reports, there is no mention of silicosis anywhere. A number of international and government health reports indicate that tuberculosis (TB) is as much as five times higher than the national average in Solwezi and other copper-mining towns (Lebina, Martinson, Milovanovic, & Kinghorn, 2010). Silicosis is very often misdiagnosed as TB.

First Quantum has a lung policy in place. What is the function of this policy? What does the Zambian Health and Safety Act say about lung function and compensation for workers and communities whose lungs have been damaged by workplace and general dust and air pollution? During the meeting with Kansanshi management, we asked FQM Kansanshi several times about the presence of silica or siliceous materials in the mineralogy, and if they observed the impact thereof on mineworkers and nearby communities. These questions were never answered.

FQM Kansanshi runs a laudable tuberculosis programme in its operation and in the community, but one wonders if those who are being treated are not suffering from miner’s phthisis or silicosis. Ngulube, Chongo, & Paquot (2015) quoting a paper presented by FQM employees at Copper-Cobalt Africa, incorporating the Eighth Southern African Basic Metals Conference Livingstone, Zambia, 6–8 July 2015 organised by Southern African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, argue that:

> All the copper minerals constituting the alteration sequence from primary sulphides to carbonates or silicates are present in various proportions (Table I) in the Kansanshi transitional.

But according to Kansanshi Mine, issues of silica in Zambia are adequately taken care of as the law provides that all mining companies are subjected to the Pneumoconiosis Act (as amended by No.15 of 1955), which law subjects all employees of any mining company regardless of work nature and station to regular silicosis examinations. While Kansanshi confirmed to SARW that all its workers are tested regularly, it failed to provide evidence.

7.1.3 Arsenic and its potential impact on health

There are reports of the extensive presence of arsenic in the mineralogy of Kansanshi Mine.

The presence of arsenic within copper ores reduces the economic value of the ore because of the penalties imposed on the smelting of ores with high arsenic content. The high concentrations of arsenic within the quartz-carbonate vein sample is of concern because copper production is subjected to extensive environmental regulation related to air and water quality, and materials handling and disposal practices (Matschullat, 2000, Jacobs TT, 2016). This is because atmospheric arsenic emissions from copper smelting make the largest contribution to the amount of arsenic associated with the mining industry. Consequently, it has become the focus of pollution controls technological advancements (Matschullat, 2000).

In a study done on the presence of arsenic in Riverlea located among the mine dumps south of Main Reef Road, Johannesburg, the Medical Research Council (MRC) of South Africa and the National Institute of Occupational Health (NIOH) found that:

> The derived exposure index (CEI) (mine dust exposure) was significantly associated with; Upper respiratory tract symptoms, Chest wheezing, Emphysema or COPD (smoker’s lung), Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (on LFT).

The longer a person lives in Riverlea and the closer he lives to the mine dump makes the person at increased risk for the above-men-
As noted above:

high levels of nitrate can pose a risk to babies less than six months who are formula-fed and the unborn foetus of pregnant women. Adults with specific rare metabolic disorders may also be at risk. Nitrate is converted into nitrite by bacteria in the gut. This nitrite combines with foetal haemoglobin in the foetus or infant less than 6 months old, preventing oxygen from binding and being distributed around the body. Symptoms include blueness around the mouth, hands and feet – hence the name ‘blue baby’ syndrome. In severe cases, it can affect breathing and be life-threatening (Te Mana Ora District Health Board, 2017).

SARW raised this issue in the engagement with FQM managers, but neither the health nor the environmental officer commented on the matter. SARW held a separate meeting with Zambia Environmental Management Agency (ZEMA, 2019). ZEMA informed SARW that Kansanshi copper mine is compliant in many areas, based on the bi-annual reports submitted by Kansanshi Mine to ZEMA and the periodic inspections conducted by ZEMA. However, ZEMA was quick to point out that Kansanshi mine has been non-compliant with regards to stack emissions from the smelter. The question remains, does ZEMA control include testing for the presence and impact of toxic substances, and if not, why?

7.1.4 Nitrates and nitrites: potential environmental and health impacts

Nitrates and nitrites are widely referred to in the literature on the mineralogy of Solwezi. They are also referred to in the national water report “Evaluation of potable water in five provinces of Zambia” by K Nachiyunde et al (Nachiyunde, Ikeda, Tanaka, & Kozaki, 2013). The presence of nitrates and nitrites in the water leads to the early termination of pregnancies in both humans and animals. It also leads to early deaths of infants, commonly referred to as “blue death”.

SARW interviewed community clinic staff members and community members who spoke about unnatural early termination of pregnancies, infant death, and a few instances of babies born with deformities.

Nitrates and nitrites prevent oxygen from being transported through the bloodstream to various organs in the body, so the person suffocates (McCasland, Trautmann, Porter, & Wagenet, 2012).

Nitrates and nitrites are not just geological; they are also from human waste contamination of water supplies. Solwezi has a large number of shallow wells, boreholes and well fields that add to the extent of nitrite and nitrate present in the water. Often wells are located close to pit latrines, and many wells and boreholes are located within the tailings plume of the Kansanshi operation. Nitrate and nitrite presence could also explain the incidence of cerebral palsy. Nitrous oxide (NO3 or NOx) is one of the gases emitted by the Kansanshi smelter (First quantum Minerals Ltd, 2017), so the problem could also be airborne.
7.1.5 Possible impact of mining activities on health

The Mining Health Initiative study referred to above found the following top ten causes of general mortality in North West Province compared to Solwezi (Mining Health Initiative, 2013), as outlined in Table 4 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4: Causes of mortality in Solwezi District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| From the above table (Table 4), death from severe malnutrition is double in the Solwezi district compared to the rest of the province. Tuberculosis is almost three times higher in Solwezi than in the rest of the province. In the global scientific literature, there is a strong causal correlation between silica and tuberculosis (Rees D, 2007). Abortion and diarrhoea are almost twice as high in Solwezi as in the rest of the province. Malaria and pneumonia do not feature in the top ten causes of death in the rest of the province, but they do in Solwezi. There is a similar strong causal correlation between uranium, radium, radon and pneumonia (Environmental Protection Agency, 2000). Cardio-vascular disease is also significantly higher in Solwezi than in the rest of the province. Johnson and Duport have reported possible causal linkages between radon and cardiovascular (heart) diseases (Johnson, J. R., & Duport, P. (2004, May).

There is also a strong causal correlation between arsenic, abdominal pain, diarrhoea and abortions, besides causing cancer and skin lesions (World Health Organisation, 2019). The significant differences between the top ten causes of mortality in Solwezi and in the rest of the province raise the question: “What makes Solwezi unique or different from the rest of the province?” Surely this is a question worth deeper investigation? Maybe the government of Zambia should probe this further.

7.2 Concentration, smelting and tailing dams

With regard to the follow-up on the quality of soil and water, no quantified results were presented; not even the list of parameters analysed was presented. For SARW either the checking was incomplete, or the results were withheld.

7.2.1 Visit to the Kansanshi concentrator

The mining, crushing, loading, and transportation of ore generates dust, the most dangerous being PM2.5 and PM10. This dust presents a huge risk to the environment and to living creatures (including humans) in the surrounding area.

SARW’s visit to the concentrator deepened the understanding of what ends up on the tailing dams. We visited the concentrator in the company of Mr Godfrey Philip Lip Miska, Public Relations Manager of Kansanshi Mine. The explanation about the process was provided by Mr Aston Tshibanda. The purpose of this visit was to understand the operations in order to assess the impact of the process on the environment. The visit to the concentrator demonstrated that FQM mines three kinds of copper deposits: oxidized ore, sulphide ore, and mixed ore. After the comminution stage (crushing and milling), the ore is concentrated by floatation method. The oxidised concentrate is sent to the leaching facility where copper cathodes are produced after electro-winning (EW). At the concentrator and the hydrometallurgical plant, wastewater from floatation and the hydrometallurgical plant is pumped into the storage ponds or tailings storage facility (TSF), where it can settle, permeate and evaporate, leaving solid waste. The water balance in the floatation circuit and the hydrometallurgical plant were not provided. The same applies to the volume of freshwater that fed to the plant or discharged into the TSF.

SARW requested to take water samples which were running around the concentrator for testing, but permission was denied.

The drainage water from the site and the wastewater (mine drainage water, process water, maintenance water, cooling water and run-off water) which leach and flow into the surface water with suspended solids are a potential risk of pollution. Floatation generates a significant amount of water loaded with residual chemical reagents (xanthates, foam, etc.). This water must be stored in a waterproof pond, recycled through the process or treated before being released into the natural environment. At Kansanshi, the storage of wastewater is in non-waterproofed ponds that discharge wastewater and drainage water into the natural environment without prior treatment.

7.2.2 The Smelter: impact on the environment and health

Pyrometallurgy and the movement of machinery are both operations during which the combustion of coke or hydrocarbons occurs. During combustion, several phenomena occur (incomplete combustion, pyrolysis, etc.) that could lead to the release of acid or toxic gases (or both) into the atmosphere (including NOx, SO2 and HAP).

The pyrometallurgy plant with the feeding of sulphide ore generates fumes which contain SO2, volatile metals (Pb and Zn if there is any in the material fed) and suspended solids which could be deposited on the ground, in surface water, or on vegetation, or which could be breathed in by living beings.

Figure 1. shows the components which are likely to form during the combustion of coke and hydrocarbons. HAPs (Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons) have the
the copper is poured in the form of an anode intended for export.

The SO2 which is released is collected, liquefied and sent to the acid plant where it is converted to SO3 to make sulphuric acid. The dust and gases which escape from the pyrometallurgical plant are sucked up and released through a chimney. The slag, which is waste from the metallurgical plant, is cooled, milled and floated in order to recover the gold which it contains.

Kansanshi reported to SARW that it has installed an acid plant designed to convert approximately 99.8 per cent of the smelter off gas to sulphuric acid, and continues to report sulphur-dioxide compliance within statutory ambient limits. However, monitoring reports were not made available to the team for verification despite the team requesting them. The company reported to the SARW team that in order to improve ambient air quality measurement, in 2017 Kansanshi installed a solar powered continuous ambient air quality monitoring station in the immediate community, downwind of the smelter. Our main contention is that the results collected are never shared with the community to allay their fears.

The static air quality monitoring technology shown on the slide by the FQM environmental officer during his presentation “located in the yard of sub-chief Wangabanyi of Kyafukuma Village” north-west of the mine operation is no longer in place.

While carbon dioxide emission reporting is important for greenhouse gas and climate change impacts, sulphur dioxide and nitrogen oxide (NOx) reporting is critical for the respiratory health of employees, residents in Solwezi and the general population of North West Province. The question is why does FQM omit this in its report?

Although the mine has made significant efforts to reduce the maximum emission from its smelter, there is still emission released into the atmosphere and the company ought to report on this. There are some fumes from the smelter, which was confirmed by Lawrie, the superintendent of the smelter. He said that there is a need to do some more work to ensure the zero discharge.

First Quantum sustainability reports refer only to carbon dioxide emissions from its smelter and processing plant in Solwezi. The company claims in its 2018 environment report that it “currently measures other significant emissions including sulphur oxides (of which sulphur dioxide (SO2) is the most significant) and metal dust from static point sources only... Absolute emissions of SO2 and nitrous oxides (NOx) from fixed points are provided” (First Quantum Minerals Ltd. (2017). While FQM provides SO2 and NOx emissions (in tonnes), it does so as a cumulative figure for all its operations globally, not by operation. At the operational
level, it provides only annual greenhouse gas emissions (in kilotonnes CO2 E) First Quantum Minerals Ltd. (2018).

SARW suspects that sulphur dioxide emissions from the Kansanshi smelter may be the reason for the low pH (acidity) of rainwater to the west of the mining operation, for the leaf burn on plants, and also for the prevalent rust on metallic roofs, structures and poles everywhere. SARW also believes that inhalation of nitrous oxide in the emissions from the smelter could account for community claims of premature births, foetal deaths, and infant deaths.

So long as there is no independent, transparent testing and oversight of water and air quality in communities living close to the mine, no one can say with certainty what the causes might be of particular health problems. The communities on the west of the operation where the smelter is located are clearly exposed to airborne silica, arsenic, sulphur dioxide, nitrous oxide and carbon dioxide. We found community members suffering rasping coughs in every community that the team visited. An interview with the head medical officer in one of the community clinics confirmed that the biggest health problem in the community was respiratory (coughing, asthma, wheezing etc). Hardly any of the people randomly interviewed in communities visited responded positively to the question, “Do you, or anyone in your family smoke?”

Community members living in villages to the west of the mine complained about emissions from the smelter. Although questions were raised during the presentations made by FQM Kansanshi managers about the abundant presence of silica indicated in academic and technical literature, the questions were not answered by either the health or the environmental presenter (Meeting with Kansanshi, 15-04-19). However, the environmental officer spoke of a static emissions monitoring point located in the yard of the sub-chief Wangabanya of Kayafukuma. A slide with a photograph of the monitoring equipment was shown during his presentation (Meeting with Kansanshi, 15-04-19). SARW’s visit to the site did not find the equipment in place, although there was an indication that it used to be there. Figure 3 below illustrates the empty structure for the monitoring equipment at sub chief’s house.

Figure 3: Static emissions monitoring site at sub-chief Wangabanya’s house, without the monitoring equipment. (Source: SARW, April 2019)

So long as there is no independent, transparent testing and oversight of water and air quality in communities living close to the mine, no one can say with certainty what the causes might be of particular health problems. The communities on the west of the operation where the smelter is located are clearly exposed to airborne silica, arsenic, sulphur dioxide, nitrous oxide and carbon dioxide. We found community members suffering rasping coughs in every community that the team visited. An interview with the head medical officer in one of the community clinics confirmed that the biggest health problem in the community was respiratory (coughing, asthma, wheezing etc). Hardly any of the people randomly interviewed in communities visited responded positively to the question, “Do you, or anyone in your family smoke?”

Community members living in villages to the west of the mine complained about emissions from the smelter. Although questions were raised during the presentations made by FQM Kansanshi managers about the abundant presence of silica indicated in academic and technical literature, the questions were not answered by either the health or the environmental presenter (Meeting with Kansanshi, 15-04-19). However, the environmental officer spoke of a static emissions monitoring point located in the yard of the sub-chief Wangabanya of Kayafukuma. A slide with a photograph of the monitoring equipment was shown during his presentation (Meeting with Kansanshi, 15-04-19). SARW’s visit to the site did not find the equipment in place, although there was an indication that it used to be there. Figure 3 below illustrates the empty structure for the monitoring equipment at sub chief’s house.

According to ZEMA, Kabwela community is located about 1 km from Tailings Storage Facility No. 2, and 1.8 km from Tailings Storage Facility No. 1. In the unlikely event that tailings storage No. 2 failed, the discharge would be away from the community settlements into the Kifubwa River, while the failure of tailings storage No. 1 would also not affect the community because of the Kansanshi stream valley.

However, SARW is of the view that there is a misrepresentation of the distance between Kabwela community and the mine. Our estimation is that the distance between the community and the mine is less than 1 km, which clearly indicates some level of reactiveness (as opposed to proactiveness) by ZEMA, compromising the safety of the community in any eventuality of pollution. ZEMA interacted once with the community, but despite this interaction the community continues to feel the effect of the tailing dams. In discussion with members of the community, it transpired that ZEMA has limited interaction with the surrounding communities, thereby failing in its obligation to protect the people of Zambia on issues related to environmental pollution. The institution has concentrated more on the issuance of the license. The lack of a clear stakeholder’s feedback system by ZEMA is a fertile breeding ground for disputes and misunderstandings among the affected parties, especially nearby communities.

7.2.3 Tailing dams management, water and air quality

Mined ore is crushed into powder form before processing and smelting. Once the minerals are extracted, the waste ends up in tailings waste facilities. Those who design and manage tailings know that these mountains of muddy waste must be dried out as quickly as possible because tailings dam disasters can flood nearby communities in toxic tailings mud, as recently happened at Vale mines in Brazil. ZEMA has acknowledged having interacted with the Kabwela community, especially when the tailings storage facilities were newly constructed.
Communities living to the west of the tailings dams complain about the dust from the tailings. The dust problem is particularly bad during the dry season (May to October). Meanwhile those living on the east and south of the tailings complain about the impact of water seepage.

During our visit to the tailing dams, we asked FQM if we could take tailing samples for testing. At first, our hosts agreed without hesitation. They then called to ask if it was correct to let us collect a sample from the tailings. After a few minutes, we were told we were not allowed to take samples from the tailings. Our argument that this was necessary to establish what is contained in the tailing dams was rejected. Despite our efforts to convince our host to let us keep the samples, we were forced to throw the samples away. FQM’s refusal to allow this elementary testing was regrettable.

Communities on the west also complained about the impact, not only on their own health and that of their livestock, but also on the vegetation. They complain that the soil fertility is declining, and that their crops are burned by acid rain (Interviews with Community Members 17.04.2019).

We tested rainwater on the western side and found the pH to be 4, the same level of acidity as orange juice.

Villagers showed us what appears to be leaf burn on cabbages (Figure 7), bananas, and other plant and tree leaves. This finding corroborates the auditor-general’s findings that both surface and groundwater was also being polluted, and that the pH value may be as low as 3 (i.e. more acidic) near some mines instead of the recommended 6 to 9.

Dry tailings, if not managed well, will carry fine particles of toxic dust into nearby communities. Limpitlaw writes: “Tailings dams generally sterilize land by covering it with valuable topsoil and may also contain deleterious compounds.” (D Limpitlaw, 1998). The tailings dams on the Copperbelt often contain compounds of copper and cobalt. When not vegetated, such residue deposits are highly susceptible to erosion due to steep slopes and the presence of fine, dispersed particles. Eroded material from the dumps is deposited in neighbouring streams or on adjacent farmlands, reducing the economic potential of these resources. The tailings generally have adverse effects such as poor physical sample properties, toxic substances, nutrient deficiencies, high acidity or alkalinity, and salinity. Toxic materials can contaminate rainwater passing through the tailings. All the substances found in Kansanshi mineralogy (including brannerite, nitrates and nitrates, which we presume end up in the tailings) could have an impact on water quality. Arsenic impacts on both water and air quality. All the mineralogical and hydrological reports refer to the extensive presence of pyrites in the Solwezi dome. The presence of pyrites, copper and sulphides all result in chemical reactions with oxygen and water when disturbed and produce acid mine drainage.

During the presentation by FQM, with reference to the results of dust concentration in the air quality, the participants noted values of more than 600 mg/m² per day, which greatly exceeds the threshold of 250 mg/m² per day set down by Zambian regulations.

The auditor’s report on environment affirms that mining companies were failing to produce monthly returns or biannual reports on gas emissions into the environment. As a result, the quantity of dust, sulphur dioxide (SO2), arsenic (As), copper (Cu) and lead (Pb) emitted by the mines into the environment was way in excess of the limits set by ZEMA. (OAG, 2014)
Communities on the east of the mine have noticed that trees have started dying. Dust which pollutes the air ends up being deposited on the surface of the soil and polluting it. Polluted water which flows onto the surface of the soil or which could overflow during storms could pollute the soil. The movement of machinery and accidental spillages could damage the quality of the soil.

ZEMA confirmed having received complaints about suspected damage to crops in Kyafukuma area west of the mine. The problem of fumes was reported a few years ago. A lot of work was done to investigate the alleged pollution, which affected the crops of a named farmer. However, the investigations were not conclusive, and it was not proven that the fumes from the mine caused crop damage. (Meeting with ZEMA, 04-06-19)

It is important to note that ZEMA does not have its own independent laboratory facilities but relies on other laboratories to analyse samples. The absence of its own independent laboratory makes its technical decisions unreliable; it also portrays the institution as weak and lacking in capacity to execute its regulatory responsibilities. Further, ZEMA’s reactive approach to perceived pollution, which in most cases takes place over a period of time after the occurrence is reported, disadvantages and worsens the situation in the affected communities, as the inspection might not give the accurate information due to time-lapse.

The poor management of the tailing dams poses a problem to water quality in the area. Communities continue to complain about the quality of the water. Universal access to clean water is a basic need and a human right, as recognised by the UN General Assembly in July 2010 (UNGASS, 2010). Water constitutes an objective for the millennium development goals (MDGs). It is posited that water and sanitation are basic necessities and hence a prerequisite to achieving a minimum standard of health and well-being, enabling people to undertake productive activities and build secure livelihoods (Mehta, 2014). For this reason, a concerted global effort has been dedicated to having commitments to the goal of securing water for all in a sustainable manner. During the meeting with SARW and community members, it was argued that the community is occupying a forest reserve, making it an illegal settlement, despite its being a recognised settlement under chief Kapilimpanga (which makes it legal under Zambian customary law).

SARW only conducted field water tests with elementary electronic testing devices for total dissolved solids (TDS), alkalinity (pH) and some strip tests for heavy metals copper, lead, iron, nitrates and nitrites on streams, wells and boreholes on the north-western side of the operation. Given the direction of the flow of streams towards the mine in this area, it is not surprising that all the results came up negative, TDS never exceeded 150ppm, while pH was 7 and above. (is this good or negative

The water also flows from the FQM site via a drain and pours into the Kifubwa River, situated to the south of Kabwela village (see Figure 9). This observation allows for the definitive rejection of the allegations of “zero discharge” made by FQM management.

Two samples were taken from the Kifubwa River and from FQM’s water discharge drain, respectively, before the point at which it mixes with the waters of the Kifubwa River.

Figure 8: View of the position for the taking of sample 2 from the drain for the discharge of water from FQM’s site.
This spillage into the natural environment presents a significant danger for aquatic flora and fauna. FQM’s slogan “zero discharge” does not match reality. This is chronic pollution, which can have devastating effects on the environment.

Our finding is in line with the auditor-general’s finding on environmental management that “total Suspended Solids (TSS) and Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), and in cases sulphates, in the effluent were also found to be high. Dissolved solids are an indicator that the chemical content of the waste-water released to the environment is high.” (OAG, 2014). If our observation is correct, it raises serious questions about ZEMA’s conclusion. According to ZEMA’s records “the sulphide TSF, the Kansanshi Smelter and the Slag dump extension, has approved EISs. The performance of these approved projects is satisfactory.” (ZEMA, 2019). SARW is of the opinion that the issues raised by communities in the first report remain, including possible soil and water contamination from the mine dumps.

### Table 5: Analysis of water samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nº</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Sample 1 Water from the Kipimbwa river</th>
<th>Sample 2 Water from the drain of the FQM site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>7.78</td>
<td>7.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>TDS</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>11.89</td>
<td>1019.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Total suspended</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>206.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solids (TSS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Conductivity</td>
<td>μS/cm</td>
<td>225.78</td>
<td>2052.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Redox potential</td>
<td>mV</td>
<td>278.47</td>
<td>286.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>1.534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Co</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of Table 6 show that the water from the drain for the discharge of water from the FQM site is highly mineralised; it is thick with suspended matter and has a high concentration of iron (which is yellow colour).

![Figure 9: View of the point of the discharge of water from the FQM site into the Kifubwa River to the south of the Kabwela village and the points for the taking of samples.](image)

In Zambia, it is mandatory through the Zambia Environmental Management Act No. 12 of 2013, the Statutory Instrument No. 112 of 2013, and the Environmental Management (Licensing) Regulation of 2013, for mining companies to control air and water pollution resulting from their operations. The Act subjects mining op-
In 2017, the environmental regulator (ZEMA) issued Kansanshi with an approval letter following an environmental impact assessment study to extend the smelter slag dump. The existing slag dump has the capacity to store 2 million tonnes and was designed as a transitory dump awaiting a retreatment facility to recover the residual contained copper. Slag deposition for 2017 was 0.75 million tonnes bringing the total slag deposition to 1.94 million tonnes.

It is inappropriate for FQM and ZEMA to continue avoiding what the impact on the environment is. We need to learn from the Copperbelt. The impact of tailing dams on the Copperbelt is one of the evident examples of what the impact could be. On the Copperbelt, tailings are currently disposed of in valley dams or dambos (wetlands). Long retention times are supposed to ensure that solids are settled out and organic compounds have decomposed before releasing into the environment. Despite this, seepage from tailings disposed of in these wetlands has been reported, resulting in contamination of soils and groundwater with heavy metals and acids” (Limpitlaw, 2019).

In 2014, the collapse in 2014 of tailings dam at the Mount Polley gold-copper mine operated by Imperial Metals in British Columbia, Canada, dumping 24.4 million cubic metres of tailings into the Fraser River watershed, a group of lakes and rivers that bear salmon and sustain the livelihoods of local First Nation communities. The collapse within the watershed caused heavy pollution, leading to the closure of fish farms, the closure of local fish canneries, the loss of thousands of jobs, and the relocation of thousands of people. It also caused the destruction of fish habitats and the loss of local Indigenous cultural and spiritual practices.

It seems, with what the communities are experiencing, that Kansanshi Mine has neglected both its own policy and the country’s legislation. There appears to be neglect on the part of the mining company when you consider that it has failed to monitor the impact of its activities or to follow up on people’s complaints. It has failed to take steps to demonstrate that it is not polluting water and that its activities are not affecting agricultural productivity of the surrounding land. The company does not provide in its sustainability reports meaningful information on the impact of its activities on biodiversity and the ecosystem.

It is possible that the dewatering of the opencast pit to ensure safe, dry working conditions by lowering the water table through constant pumping of water through the boreholes that compose the well-field around the mine causes the shallow community wells and boreholes to dry up, which also causes the shallow-rooted trees to die of thirst (Braun, Eberts, Jones, & Harvey, 2004).

In 2017, the environmental regulator (ZEMA) issued Kansanshi with an approval letter following an environmental impact assessment study to extend the smelter slag dump. The existing slag dump has the capacity to store 2 million tonnes and was designed as a transitory dump awaiting a retreatment facility to recover the residual contained copper. Slag deposition for 2017 was 0.75 million tonnes bringing the total slag deposition to 1.94 million tonnes.

It is inappropriate for FQM and ZEMA to continue avoiding what the impact on the environment is. We need to learn from the Copperbelt. The impact of tailing dams on the Copperbelt is one of the evident examples of what the impact could be. On the Copperbelt, tailings are currently disposed of in valley dams or dambos (wetlands). Long retention times are supposed to ensure that solids are settled out and organic compounds have decomposed before releasing into the environment. Despite this, seepage from tailings disposed of in these wetlands has been reported, resulting in contamination of soils and groundwater with heavy metals and acids” (Limpitlaw, 2019).

ZEMA and Kansanshi must be alerted that keeping communities and the environment safe from tailings dams has become a human right issues following recent collapses of tailing dams. The collapse in 2014 of tailings dam at the Mount Polley gold-copper mine operated by Imperial Metals in British Columbia, Canada, dumping 24.4 million cubic metres of tailings into the Fraser River watershed, a group of lakes and rivers that bear salmon and sustain the livelihoods of local First Nation communities. The collapse within the watershed caused heavy pollution, leading to the closure of fish farms, the closure of local fish canneries, the loss of thousands of jobs, and the relocation of thousands of people. It also caused the destruction of fish habitats and the loss of local Indigenous cultural and spiritual practices.
8. Kansanshi’s corporate social responsibility

In corporate governance, CSR is the decision-making and implementation process that guides a company’s activities in protecting and promoting international human rights, labour and environmental standards and compliance with legal requirements within its operations and in its relations to the societies and communities in which it operates.

During the one-day workshop held with FQM, the company informed SARW that it has established an entity called Kansanshi Foundation that guides its social interventions.23 The company is working with the local communities in the fields of agriculture, education, health, development of infrastructure, and local business.

Kansanshi Foundation is a full project of the company. One initial observation is that, ideally, a foundation is supposed to be owned and controlled by the community, as it is supposed to be community needs driven. This is the case of Tenke Funguluwe Foundation in the DRC — it is run and managed by the community. In Solwezi, many members of the communities don’t know of the existence of the Kansanshi Foundation.

The FQM foundation has the appearance of an image-building tool. But it lacks any sustainability mechanism in the event that the company should close, and there is no guarantee that any initiative will continue thereafter.

8.1 Infrastructure

FQM claimed to have built the Solwezi-Chingola Road, but when SARW enquired, it was revealed that the road was constructed by the Zambian government through the Ministry of Housing and Infrastructure Development. FQM involvement in the construction of roads in the community of Solwezi was based on a swap or barter arrangement according to a memorandum of understanding (MOU) between the Solwezi Municipal Council and Kansanshi Mine through the FQM Roads Department.24 This was done in such a way that instead of paying rates to the council, Kansanshi worked on the roads so that the bills were written off.

23 Kansanshi Foundation is fully owned and operated by First Quantum and managed by Kansanshi Copper and Gold Mine.
24 FQM Roads Department is a private commercial entity owned by First Quantum. It operates independently and its relationship with any developer is purely commercial and not for charity.

8.2 Education

The mine is contributing to the social upliftment of the communities through education. It has contributed with 20 per cent of infrastructure; it has trained 260 teachers in teaching methodologies; and it has provided over 75 000 textbooks, which the Solwezi District Education Board Secretary (DEBS) verified were compliant with the current school curriculum. FQM also has a feeding scheme for children in 13 of the 27 schools surrounding the mine. At the time of the research, the company had awarded 220 bursaries to vulnerable children, paying school fees for those in government secondary schools. The company intervention and support in education can be divided into three types: education for the poor, education for workers’ children, and education for the rich. The company has segregated the schools by class, with the poorest receiving the lowest quality of education. This segregation diminishes the value of the company’s intervention in education and exposes Kansanshi’s poor understanding of CSR. This is the first time that SARW has encountered a divisive type of education being provided by mining companies as part of their CSR.

8.2.1 Education for the poor

Some education is exclusively for poor communities surrounding the mine. SARW visited five schools. Three schools were visited together with the FQM management: Mushita Secondary School, Makole Primary Community School, and an early learning centre located at Mushita. Two schools were visited in the absence of the FQM: Kyafukuma and Kabwela schools.

Mushita Secondary School

Mushita Primary School is owned by the government and located along the main road between the town and the mine site. It provides visibility to Kansanshi’s CSR programme.

The school has 2000 learners, 51 teachers, and 12 classrooms. Kansanshi Mine contributed to the school by constructing a 1 x 5 classroom block as a way of
decongesting the overcrowded classrooms, by increasing the number of classrooms from 7 to 12. The school accommodates 50 pupils per class per session. In addition to providing classrooms, the mine painted the school, and also provides high-protein porridge to the learners through the school feeding programme. The head teacher confirmed that the feeding scheme has improved learner attendance at the school.

The classroom: pupil ratio at the school, which is now at an average of 1:58, is still higher than the standard ratio of 1:45. This is Kansanshi Mine’s best investment in education for the people of Solwezi.

However, there are issues with this support. Firstly, the alterations to the school building did not meet the required standards set by the Ministry of Education. The change was done by negotiating with the ministry headquarters in Lusaka, and without any consultation with the end-users or rights holders, which limits the role of the District Education Secretary (DEBS) and community. This has weakened the sense of ownership by the community and the local governance structure. It is good to provide buildings, but you also need better school infrastructure to boost student learning.

Secondly, this is a basic school. The school has to offer ICT lessons, but it can only offer theory because the school has no computers. Thirdly, there are still not enough toilets to cater for all the learners. (Field visit with FQM, 16-04-19). One would expect that the true essence of social responsibility is for communities to have access to good quality education (which includes good toilets, and sufficient infrastructure to ensure that classes are further decongested to the standard 1:45 ratio).

It appears the investment in this school is part of the company’s public relations policy. The company’s investment in the school is based on its location and proximity to the mine site, and it is visible to all visitors on their way to either the mine site or the Golf Estate.

If Mushitala School constitutes the best investment in education by FQM in the communities’ education, then there must be a problem with the company’s philosophy and conceptualisation of CSR interventions. Much more could and should be done.

**Makole Community School**

SARW had an opportunity to interact and engage with both the learners and school management at Makole, unlike on its initial visit (described in the first report). The school is situated in the Kankasa area of Mushitala and has been recently converted into a public school managed by the Education Ministry. The school was built by the community with support from the World Bank. It caters for orphans and vulnerable children (OVC) in the community. It has 18 teachers (16 women and 2 men).

The school only has five classrooms with a population of 424 learners (227 girls and 198 boys). This gives an average of 85 learners per class, when the government policy recommends 45 learners per class.

The classrooms are almost half the size of a standard classroom, and it is hard to imagine how learners can fit into such a small classroom. The classrooms only have one window, providing insufficient ventilation to the learners. The school has only four toilets to cater for the whole population of learners – two toilets for girls and two for boys. This means that 113 girls share one toilet, and 99 boys share one toilet, when the standard toilet: pupil ratio is 1:20 for girls and 1:25 for boys. Because of girls’ particular need for menstrual hygiene, the standard for every school is to have enough girls’ toilets, which should include a washroom. The girls’ toilets at Makole do not have any washrooms. The toilets are in a deplorable state. They are ventilated pit latrines, and there is no access to running water anywhere at the school.
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The community of Kyafukuma has a population of over 7000 inhabitants. The secondary school introduced three years ago, has about 200 pupils with 14 teachers. According to information obtained from community leaders, the company has “no interest and no intentions” to support secondary education. The company offers literacy, learning material, and school feeding support to the primary school. In terms of infrastructure, the head teacher of Kyafukuma Secondary School bemoaned the lack of corporate support for his school. As some of the challenges faced by the school, he cited lack of water, shortage of textbooks, big classes with unacceptable teacher: pupil ratios, inadequate sports facilities and laboratories. After many requests, the company completed the building of a teacher’s house which was started by the community, although its interior is still to be completed.

Members of the community informed SARW that the mining company has offered to help build a 1 x 5 classroom block for the upcoming secondary school as compensation for losing land to the company.

Kyafukuma School

The children stood quietly in three or four lines waiting for the food to be served. The only noise emanating from the group was the frequent coughing that seems prevalent all over Solwezi.

Kansanshi must be commended for its feeding scheme. In addition to this, the mine has engaged community members to help them grow food, which will help to sustain the school feeding programme. The school also has a vegetable garden, which they want to contribute towards feeding the children. However, the conditions in which the children learn leaves much to be desired. Kansanshi has been requested by the community through the school management to build a school block and some toilets. It is yet to respond. The District Education Board Secretary, the representative who attended the meeting with Kansanshi, appealed to the company to be realistic in its approach and in the identification of community needs, and further emphasised that quality of infrastructure must be given priority attention. Children in poor communities need more than textbooks and porridge. During the discussion with Kansanshi, SARW was told by one member of the management team that:

the poor children from the communities surrounding the mine do not deserve quality education; they will fail anyway if they were to be included in modern structure education. What they need is just some sort of classroom and food.

Whether this perception represents the view of the company is not known. It is clear in our view that company could and should more to support the educational needs of the surrounding communities.
Kabwela Community School

The Kabwela school has 408 learners and eight teachers. It has only a 1 x 3 classroom block which was built by Kansanshi Mine in 2008, along with two teachers’ houses. The new classroom block replaced a dilapidated mud-and-pole structure. The classroom: pupil ratio is 1:58 because of double streams. The head teacher donated his house as a classroom to the Grade 8 learners, and the remaining house is shared by the deputy head and two other teachers and their families. Information and Communications Technology is a compulsory examinable subject in Grade 9 but the school does not have a computer lab. The head teacher conducts the ICT lessons using a disused computer. For some pupils, the first time they see a real computer is in the examination room.

FQM appears to implement projects without adequate consultation with the community. SARW was informed that the company had built a hall which is still to be handed over to the community, but the community does not want it. The community said they want the company to invest in a well-equipped clinic, and to provide adult education. They want a well-equipped modern school and a tarred road to transport their agricultural produce to market.

They felt these areas will improve their livelihoods. Access to Kyafukuma is a nightmare, as the only road is in a deplorable state and is considered a death trap for motorists.

The head teacher claims to have written many letters to FQM Kansanshi management requesting assistance, but to no avail. He explained how the entire school reverberates every time there is blasting at the mine. He claims that the age of the school buildings and lack of maintenance causes the buildings (including the teachers’ houses) to crack during blasting. The company denies that the blasting is the cause of the cracks in the teachers’ houses.

8.2.3 Education for mineworkers’ children: Kabitaka School

The Kabitaka school comprises a primary and high school. It is in a gated community owned by Kansanshi Mine. This is not a public school but a private school in which FQM has invested US$40 million. FQM further supports the Kabitaka school with an annual subsidy grant of US$2 million per year. The secondary school has 283 pupils, while the primary has 340 pupils. According to the school management, the company offers ten scholarships to children from less fortunate communities to pursue higher education at Trident College.
The fees are too expensive for most parents in the area. Only middle-class families can afford to send their children to Kabitaka. This means that most of the FQM workers do not send their children to this school.

### Table 6: School fees for Kabitaka Primary and Secondary School

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fees</th>
<th>Junior Phase</th>
<th>Middle Phase</th>
<th>Senior Phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Application Fee</td>
<td>ZMW 540</td>
<td>ZMW 540</td>
<td>ZMW 540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registration Deposit</td>
<td>ZMW 1,080</td>
<td>ZMW 1,350</td>
<td>ZMW 1,620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Tuition Fee</td>
<td>ZMW 21,660</td>
<td>ZMW 24,660</td>
<td>ZMW 26,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termly Fee</td>
<td>ZMW 7,200</td>
<td>ZMW 8,220</td>
<td>ZMW 8,910</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The school fees may be adjusted in the course of the year, subject to inflation or specific justifiable economic circumstances. Costs for residential and international trips, medical costs and exam fees are charged separately (Educore Services, 2016).

Educore service is based on what is known as the “user-pay principle”, which means that the project is run at no cost to FQM as the parents of learners foot the education bill. This cannot be justified as CSR even if Kansanshi gives a grant of US$2 million to the school.

SARW feels that this investment is deception, as even most middle management employees from Kansanshi Mine cannot afford the fees. The school’s governance structure comprises only foreign staff, implying that Zambia has no qualified academics to run this kind of investment.

### 8.2.4 Education for the rich: Sentinel and Trident Colleges

The mine management gave SARW’s team a tour of the two private schools run by Educore Zambia and subsidised by FQM. They are located in the serene Solwezi rural community and equipped with state-of-the-art infrastructure and high-quality teaching and learning materials. The schools, which absorb only a small percentage of the poorer Solwezi children through scholarships, offer a British curriculum and enrol learners from affluent families in Zambia and outside the country. Both schools have fully equipped science and computer laboratories, something that remains a pipe dream for the government schools visited.

The school fees for these two schools are pegged in US dollars, putting them out of reach for children of the mineworkers and the people living in surrounding communities. Kansanshi mine invested over US$14 million in building and equipping Trident College.

This kind of approach to CSR is ill considered and inappropriate. CSR is supposed to target mining communities. In this case, the bulk of FQM intervention is reserved for rich children. The schools are run as businesses and thus fall under the classification of social enterprise and not social responsibility. This is because the costs at the schools are astronomical (at least K30,000 per year). No member of the mining community can afford to send his or her children there.

The company denies that the subsidy awarded to these elite schools is part of the CSR, although during the meeting held with SARW the subsidy was reported to be part of CSR. The amount of money given annually to these private and exclusive facilities, compared to the meagre investment in poor schools, shows clearly the moral ineptitude of Kansanshi mine. The company scholarships to poor children of the nearby communities to attend this school amount to less than one percent of the overall enrolment. In SARW’s discussion with the headmaster of Trident College, the latter recognised that the situation is not sustainable in the long run. The school needs to increase the uptake of children from poor communities. SARW noted the contrast with Zimplats and Aquarius education initiatives in Zimbabwe (for example), where the mining companies pay the school fees of all their employees’ children.
Within the Solwezi district, FQM is presently involved in the following health sector initiatives: the redevelopment of Solwezi General Hospital; HIV programme and malaria PPP through community roadshows and a mobile health unit; and the education of health professionals (Mining Health Initiative. 2013).

The HIV and Malaria programmes are laudable, and much has been achieved. The manager for health programmes, Mrs Gertrude Musunka, cited tuberculosis and malnutrition as two of the company’s CSR health interventions. During the round-table discussion, through its coordinator of health programmes, the company shared a presentation on its health focus and priorities. The following governance and policy documents were referred to as being the guiding tools; National Malaria Communication Strategy (2011 to 2015), Zambia Demographics Health Survey (2015), National Health Strategic Plan (2011-2016), National HIV/AIDS Strategic Framework (2011-2015), National HIV/AIDS/STI/TB Policy (2010), Zambia National Educational Policy, Adolescent Health Strategic Plan (2011-2015), National Strategy to end Child Marriage and Teenage Pregnancy (2014/15-2019/20), In-house Baseline and follow-up surveys and home-grown data sets.

Disappointingly, most of these policy and strategic documents are outdated, and some issues have become moot. As most of these policies have subsequently been revised by the government, the company apparently does not engage with the government line ministries responsible of health matters on a regular basis. If they did, they would have been aware of the changes to these policies.

The extent of FQM’s activities in the field of health is difficult to assess given that their value is neither quantified nor presented in terms of objectives to be attained as noted in terms of social impact.

SARW believes that the company focuses mostly on public health awareness, which makes it difficult to quantify direct or indirect impact on targeted beneficiaries. 8.4 Agriculture

8.4.1 Fruit and vegetables project

The FQM Langmead and Baker press release of 23/03/2019 leads with “FQM targets 200 Kalumbila families for household-level agribusiness investment.” The lofty target of the project is the household kitchen. The project would, therefore, be better described as a household nutrition supplement project. If the project was truly entrepreneurial, then a visit to any of the South African retailers in Solwezi (like Spar or Pick ’n Pay) would see the fruit and vegetables of these community projects competing with the imported fruits and vegetables from South Africa.

The project is really a loan for the inputs which households pay back to FQM, making it community funded and not a CSR initiative (as FQM claims it is). In our view, for community fruit and vegetable projects to make a real impact on community health and wellbeing in a market economy, the project should not be just another micro-project, but a project utilising economies of scale, with off-take agreements with the wholesale and retail sector in Zambia and linkages with the export market.

FQM Kansanshi officials noted that the fruit, vegetable, and broiler chicken projects were not intended as commercially viable projects of any economic scale, but as projects to raise the nutritional levels of households. The North West Province is renowned for its bean production, honey, mangoes and pawpaw, all of which could be commercially produced by the community if it received meaningful investment and support from FQM.

8.4.2 Conservation farming raises serious ethical questions

FQM Kansanshi management took the SARW team to the conservation farming training centre in Solwezi, where we were informed of the benefits of conservation farming and how it replaces ploughing with planting seeds in mulched earth, with a few practical demonstrations thrown in. According to the Zambian Eye:

with crop rotation and sound agricultural practice [conservation farming initiatives] have helped farmers under FQM’s community support projects to avoid the scourge of armyworms. More than 3,700 farmers are trained and supported by the conservation farming projects surrounding the company’s Sentinel and Kansanshi mines in North-Western Province (Zambian Eye. 2017, February 15).

The Mining Review Journal echoes the sentiments of FQM, indicating that “Early distribution of farming inputs is essential to improving agriculture productivity each farming season – which helps ensure food security for rural areas (FQM)” (Mining review Journal. 2019, January 1).

The SARW team insisted on visiting some of the farms where conservation farming is being practised. SARW queried the location of the maize field it visited, given that it the electromagnetic radiation reader they carried often flashed “harmful” warnings because the site was located directly under Zambia Electricity Supply Company (ZESCO) power pylons. A government official indicated that there were regulations prohibiting farming activity around ZESCO zones, but that these were simply being ignored. This raises ethical questions about FQM promoting “conservation farming” and training in a prohibited zone.
SARW visited a conservation farming site in a riverbed (see Figure 22), despite Zambian laws prohibiting that kind of farming. SARW notes that, in contrast, Zimplats Ngezi mine in Zimbabwe trains people to avoid soil erosion and degradation when practising conservation farming along riverbeds. Zambian environmental officials present on the site visit indicated that there were regulations and laws prohibiting riverbed farming in the country, but that these laws and regulations were being ignored by FQM. In our view it is appropriate for Kansanshi to support farming that is contrary to the laws and regulations against riverbed farming.

There are several other concerns about the manner in which the conservation farming project is being implemented:

The seeds used are hybrid seeds obtained from the South African seed producer Pannar, and the individual maize plants all grow to the same size. The maize cobs are all uniformly similar in size. Pannar is a major producer of genetically modified (GMO) maize. On its webpage, Pannar markets itself as a producer of glyphosate-tolerant GMO maize that kills birds, worms and weeds.

In March 2019, a US jury found Bayer AG’s glyphosate-based weed killer to be a “substantial factor” in causing a man’s non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, allowing the trial to proceed into a second phase on liability and damages. Bayer recently acquired Monsanto (Reuters. 2019, March 2)

In February 2016, Pannar announced that it was extremely proud to launch the Zambia Advanced Maize Seed Adoption Programme (ZAMSAP) in Solwezi, which received a fantastic response from the community. This programme is a partner-ship of DuPont Pioneer, Pannar and Musika26, the Ministry of Gender and Child Development and the Ministry of Agriculture and Women, Gender and Youth Affairs. ZAMSAP is aimed at increasing the productivity and profitability of smallholder farmers, by leveraging innovative technologies in maize production and access to markets.

During the field visit it was observed that the maize patch in the riverbed had absolutely no weeds, the cobs were uniform, and there was no sign of either worms or birds in the uniform stalks and cobs, possibly indicating the presence of glyphosate?

The following was observed:

(i) Trainee farmers are supplied with maize seed and chemical fertiliser, and further “inputs” are purchased from FQM on a loan basis. Farmers are gradually being turned from self-reliant subsistence agriculturalists into small-scale market farmers, too small to be competitive with agribusiness, but still dependent on expensive market inputs.

(ii) FQM Kansanshi hosts also informed the team that they promote the planting of pine and eucalyptus trees by conservation farmers. In South Africa, pine is a prescribed tree that can only be grown in plantations, while eucalyptus trees are considered an outright invader.

When the SARW team questioned the FQM Kansanshi hosts about this, there were different responses. Firstly, we were told that the trees absorb heavy metals from the soil and water, a tacit admission that the mine tailings seepage and dust do indeed contain heavy metals. Secondly, the team was told that these trees could translate into money for small farmer households, although these trees are only economically viable in huge plantations.

Economically, Zambia has been trying to shift away from dependence on mining to agriculture and other more viable sectors of the economy. It seems that FQM Kansanshi is contributing to this. The company claims to have trained about 34 000 farmers on conservation farming, half of whom are women. It is not clear though what percentage of the 34 000 farmers are from the local communities. It also has 6000 farmers on its input subsidy scheme. This is a laudable initiative.

However, many of the farmers interviewed by SARW reported that they were dissatisfied with conservation farming methods and their impact, arguing it is too labour-intensive and time-consuming. To them, it is a disempowering farming technique because they contend that it reduces the potential income that they
could make. In Kyafukuma, many have abandoned it in preference for traditional farming methods.

The company’s conservation farming appears to focus on maize instead of promoting diversification in which farmers are still able to expand on their more nutritious and traditional crops such as beans and potatoes. Solwezi is well-known for growing beans and Irish potatoes, and SARW observed many people transporting bags of beans and potatoes on their bicycles to markets in Solwezi town.

8.4.3 Broiler chicken project FQM

Kansanshi Mine also introduced a boiler chicken project, with each selected household in Kyafukuma and Muzabula provided with 25 chickens. This again is not a commercial farming project but a household nutritional project or subsistence farming.

FQM could have considered other livestock rearing such as goats, pigs and rabbits. We did not get to visit a chicken broiler project and are therefore unable to make any further detailed comments.

FQM Kansanshi officials noted that the fruit, vegetable, and broiler chicken projects were not intended as commercially viable projects of any economic scale, but as projects to raise the nutritional levels of households.

8.5 Gender equality

The company conducts a girls’ mentorship programme which has been widely publicised and has received positive media coverage in Zambia. Praising the progressive gender attitude of FQM Kansanshi, on 19 March 2018 the Daily Nation newspaper reported “42 girls land Kansanshi mine scholarships” (Daily Nation, 2018). Zambian newspapers lauded FQM for its commitment to gender equity because the girl’s camps included mentorship, entrepreneurship and hands-on business skills to help address poverty. Poverty is cited as the major cause of transactional sex, early pregnancies, and child marriages. At the camps, girls are also taught how to make fritters and doormats and maintain a tree-planting nursery.

At the end of the mentorship camps, the girls are handed fritter-making business starter packs to help them to support themselves and pay for their schooling (Kandimba, 2015). While some may argue that there is nothing necessarily wrong in engaging girls in traditional gender roles if it enables them to complete school, there have been calls for more girls to study Maths and Science, and one would have thought that a mining company could be an ideal organisation to help in this regard.

9. The land question

The issue of land has created conflicts and misunderstanding between the mining company and the surrounding communities. There are several unresolved land and displacement issues between Kansanshi Mine and the people who live near to where it operates (Cheelo, KH, 2008). These problems were explained in the first SARW report “Living in Parallel Universe: FQM versus communities”.

The most recent land question is the one involving Kyafukuma, where the company moved 60 families off land that they have occupied for decades. Not only were they moved without adequate consultation, but they were also poorly compensated. The land in question is forestry land, which was used for farming by the community since 1965. FQM acquired the land and wanted to use it for its mining development projects. The problem is not only with FQM, but also with the government that has given the company authorisation.

The associated privatization of land will ultimately reduce land available to the poor while at the same time pushing local communities into marginal lands. This deal is controversial as it involves a lease or concession that is already occupied and used by local communities for farming.

In Zambia, all land is vested in the president, in trust for the people of Zambia. This land is classified as state land or customary land. Section 7 of the Lands Act specifically provides for the recognition and continuation of customary land holdings. This law allows for perpetual communal ownership of land by the indigenous local people.

9.1 Displacement and compensation

Until the year 2017, the land in question was part of Mbonge National Forest No.58, which was degazetted by the government through Statutory Instrument No. 61 of 2017: The National Forest No.58: Mbonge (Alteration of Boundaries) order issued on the 11 August 2017. This forest land has been part of Kyafukuma village since 1965.

The process of the alteration of boundaries was driven and initiated by an application by First Quantum, owing to its planned new mine development projects and extensions which required more land. The land was therefore degazetted and was made available to First Quantum. The government could have exercised
other options including not degazetting the forest land but let us suppose that government was right in degazetting the land. The question is why it did not give the opportunity to the community to apply for the acquisition of the land before offering it to First Quantum? Did government do a cost analysis of its action? With authorization from government, FQM proceeded without adequate consultation to move people off the land. This was farmland which people used to produce food, and also to produce crops for trading. The forced removal (the company brought in the national army to move people out) has affected the well-being of the surrounding community. The people cannot understand how government could give away the land without consultation, since they were allowed to occupy and utilise the land by past governments since independence, even though they have never received title deeds (since the land is surrounded by customary land). FQM, on the other hand, claims that its actions are based on government directives, and that the occupation of land by the 60 families was illegal.

First Quantum initially paid the people K500 as compensation. Besides the meagre amount involved, people are further angered by the violence they were subjected to, and the way they were handled by the army. Community members say that they were forced to accept and sign the payment under duress, due to the presence of the army and police to be present.

This dispute was taking place at the time when the SARW team was engaged in meetings with community members and Kansanshi management. The issue was discussed with Kansanshi, and the company subsequently adjusted the compensation upwards from US$50 to US$250 per affected household. SARW still sees this compensation as being inadequate for a household that has lost its land.

When asked why it paid such a meagre amount to the people affected, the Kansanshi Mine spokesperson responded:

> the company is worried that if it pays larger amounts, the males’ tendency in these villages is to marry many wives. We are concerned [that] paying a lot money can contribute to the destruction of marriages.

SARW found this argument by the company to be paternalistic, insulting, arrogant, and totally unacceptable. The behaviour of the men in that community cannot be the basis for social injustice. The company could always have found other ways of ensuring that the money is properly used.

9.2 Critiquing the role of government

The question that many people are raising is why the government never considered engaging with the peasant farmers before accepting the application for extension from FQM? Did government ever consider engaging with the peasant farmers before accepting the application for extension from FQM? The community should have been given priority when offering the said degazetted land. It is clear that government is to blame, the major problem being the approach taken by the government when dealing with customary land. In this case, which relates to the enjoyment of indigenous people’s land rights and protection from forced removal from their source of livelihoods, the government seems to have overlooked its obligation to protect indigenous people; instead, government purposefully followed the investor’s wishes. Where is the government when people’s rights are being abused? Why were the interests of the community disregarded? Whose right to land is more important: FQM or the indigenous villagers?

9.3 Interpreting the law

In Zambia according to the enactment of the Constitution (Amendment) Act27, the constitution prescribes principles of land policy that were not previously contained in Zambia’s supreme law. It is now a constitutional requirement under the aforesaid article that there should be (inter alia) equitable access to land and associated resources; security of tenure for lawful land holders; recognition of indigenous cultural rites; and sustainable use of land. The constitution further requires that management and administration of land and settlement of land disputes should be transparent, effective and efficient. It is also an established principle under the constitution that investments in land should benefit local communities and their economy, and that plans for land use should be formulated in a consultative and participatory manner.

These constitutional provisions and principles on land use and administration are of general application. However, the 2015 National Resettlement Policy addresses specific issues relating to voluntary or involuntary resettlements. The policy which primarily aims at protecting resettlement schemes envisages that land, as a natural resource, should (among other things) be economically productive, socially secure, and environmentally sustainable. This requirement has guided the review of the National Resettlement Policy. The 2015 policy provides guidance and protection on how the resettlement programme for agricultural purposes should be managed.

27 Kansanshi Foundation is fully owned and operated by First Quantum and managed by Kansanshi Copper and Gold Mine.

28 Article 231 of the Constitution.
According to FQM, the issue of this land was a closed chapter, and could not be discussed further. However, Brigadier Siachitema in his book Protecting rural Zambian communities from displacement resulting from land-based investment, refutes such position, arguing that the amended constitution and the Lands Act recognise customary land rights. These rights, just like those applicable to state land, can only be taken away or lost to pave the way for land-based investments in accordance with the legal requirements set out by law. Failure to follow mandatory procedure renders compulsory taking of customary land for land-based investment illegal and a nullity. The taking of a property interest or property right by the state without the owner’s consent amounts to compulsory acquisition.

According to ZEMA, it approved the resettlement action plans (RAPs) for projects that had a resettlement component, and it also carried out monitoring to ensure that commitments in the RAPs were followed (Meeting with ZEMA, 04-06-19). But the community of Kyafukuma denies having been part of the process.

Customary land is convertible to statutory tenure, and this is not reversible. For example, FQM’s area of land extension is believed to have been a forest reserve, and according to the requirement the Ministry of Mines should not have allowed for the extension of the license, especially given that there were people who depended on the same land. The extension of the mine’s surface rights by the government shows clear disregard for indigenous people’s rights.

According to most government ministries consulted on the issues around the displacement, relocation and compensation of the affected communities, the company claimed that the due diligence process was followed in terms of, for example, the extension of Kansanshi surface rights towards the community of Kyafukuma. The company argued that the complainants had no rights whatsoever to complain as the land in question used to be a protected forest reserve, which was degazetted and allocated to Kansanshi Mine.

The Forestry Act no. 4 of 2015 provides for the participation of surrounding communities in the protection and management of forests, by the establishment of a community forest joint management system (GRZ, 2015). The question then is: did the government accord the community this opportunity to establish the community forest joint management? It is the responsibility of the government, through the Department of Forestry, to ensure that vulnerable but viable communities such as Kyafukuma are given adequate information on important matters such as land.

In discussion with community representatives, a possible reason was suggested was suggested for why the company can relocate people with so much ease. They suggested that part of the problem was that the local councillor has no power vis-a-vis the company and can’t take community issues to the company. They also pointed to weak leadership of traditional leaders. The company relies on the opinion of local traditional leaders to create some level of legitimacy within the community.

Any well-meaning and right-thinking African can question the rationale of these displacements and ask whether a Zambian will have land rights anywhere apart from their country of origin. Morally, it is wrong for First Quantum to continue creating the unending tension and conflict with the inhabitants of the land, using its financial muscle to grab land in the name of foreign direct investment. The government in this instance needs to relook at its position as a custodian.

9.4 Community engagement and consultations

All the relocation cases in Kansanshi and surrounding communities have been characterised by lack of consultation with and participation by affected communities. This was confirmed by Van Alstine, Ngosa, Manyindo et al. (2011) in their research paper entitled “Seeking benefits and avoiding conflicts: a community-company assessment of copper mining in Solwezi, Zambia”. They argue that:

From the myriad of projects, initiatives and engagement processes it appears Kansanshi is approaching its community engagement/investment haphazardly. Kansanshi takes a predominantly top-down approach to community initiatives.

One major gap discovered in the entire process of displacement, resettlement and compensation is the absence of a credible and participatory approach that allows the contribution of the people affected to the decision-making, so as to minimise on the gravity of conflict between the company and the community. Decisions are made without any formal consultations or engagement with the community concerning the best way forward on the issue of land. It is clear that there has been cooperation between the company and the government on the issue of land, which has disadvantaged the local people, especially in Kyafukuma village.

30 Roundtable Meeting (SAFW-FQM) Date: 15-04-2019.
31 Women’s Land and Property Rights Programme Lawyer, Southern Africa Litigation Centre; legal practitioner in Zambia; LL.B (University of Zambia), LLM and Certificate in Arbitration and Dispute Resolution (Georgetown University).
32 Interview with Provincial Forestry Officer Mr Max Phiri, on 27.03.2019.
Going forward, the company needs to conduct baseline research to help identify the priorities and needs of the community. Kansanshi mine has no clear exit strategy from the communities it supports. This problem goes beyond the company, because the national policy on mining does not consider exit strategies by mining companies after the life of the mine.

10. Recommendations

10.1 To the government of the Republic of Zambia

In order to address some of the problems evident at Kansanshi Mine and elsewhere, the Zambian government needs to:

- define and provide a clear framework for corporate social responsibility and investment.
- empower ZEMA to have a strong and efficient internal institutional capacity to effectively execute its regulatory mandate and to produce reliable and timely technical reports;
- provide ZEMA with adequate resources to enable it to engage stakeholders, and to allow the agency to develop an environmental grievance management mechanism or framework which should be mandatory for all mining project license holders;
- ensure that companies implement a procurement policy that empowers communities through an enabling local content policy – CSR on its own cannot build communities;
- enact a law that clearly lays out compensation procedures, and which criminalises relocations before the full settlement of required compensation;
- consider two critical principles in all legislation: “Free, Prior, Informed Consent” and “The Polluter Pays”.

10.2 To FQM and other mining companies

Mining companies such as FQM need to:

- ensure that corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives are participatory and inclusive;
- revisit land compensation mechanisms in order to ensure that the human rights of relocated communities are being upheld and respected;
- ensure that CSR initiatives are designed to respond to local realities, and contribute to long-term sustainability;
- stop discrimination against poor children from mining communities by providing the same quality education and health infrastructure that is available for richer children;
- promote and respect Free Prior Informed Consent (FPIC) processes;
- adopt and ensure the safety of surrounding communities as the first priority in tailings storage facility design, construction and management by making the process more participatory and receptive to non-technical concerns;
- put in place proper measures for the treatment of hazardous waste and reduce solid waste buildup and leaching of toxic chemicals into waterways;
- increase efforts to provide more classroom blocks to existing public schools, based on the school-going age population in the area.

10.3 To civil society organisations

Civil society organisations must ensure that they:

- play their watchdog role fully, and remain independent of both companies and government.
- continuously provide capacity building to communities to ensure self-representation in dealing with companies and government, to mobilise, advocate and sustain local voices in full and meaningful participation in decision-making processes;
- engage in training programs for communities in order for them to understand their human rights and lobby for themselves;
- advocate effectively for the people in the communities.
11. Conclusion

First Quantum Minerals (FQM) remains a key player, critical to the economy of Zambia. This study recognises FQM’s interventions in its quest to uplift the welfare of local communities in a number of key areas such as infrastructure, education, health, agriculture, and gender. However, the broad thrust of this report is that FQM is falling short both in its commitment to CSR and in its implementation.

Despite the multitude of interventions, this report shows a lack of trust between the mine and the community, and from experience we know that a company can never hope to successfully implement CSR initiatives unless it is trusted. There are companies that have managed to gain the trust of communities. This takes time, effort, dedication and expense. Our key finding in this second report is the broad thrust of this report is that the implementation of CSR is top-down, with disregard for the principle of free, prior and informed consent. Most CSR interventions are designed by the company and imposed on communities.

The study has revealed that FQM Interventions such as the girl’s mentorship programme, conservation farming, and chicken rearing are not effective taking poor mining communities out of poverty; in fact, they maintain them in survival mode. The only project that seems to have made a positive impact is the school feeding scheme, but even here there are concerns about the quality of the imported GMO food provided.

The company’s intervention in the education system is problematic, displaying a lack of confidence in poor children’s ability to rise to the occasion when better study conditions are available. Trident College and Kabitaka are private businesses, and cannot be classified as part of CSR. Provision of services such as housing, education and health has largely been for the primary benefit of the company’s own workers, few of whom actually originate in the community.

There is evidence in this report that the effort intention behind FQM’s CSR programme is not to change the material conditions of local communities, but to serve a public relations function, showcasing the results of highly visible projects which skate over the surface of community-defined social needs.

On the environmental front, this report found evidence that FQM pollutes through the discharge into the surrounding communities of effluents from its smelter, concentrator and tailing dams. Prominent diseases could well be linked to the mining activities. The lack of transparency is of particular concern. Some of the substances found in the ore body of Solwezi are highly radioactive and hazardous to humans and the environment.

The lack of any national CSR policy in Zambia is the biggest problem, encouraging inconsistencies and superficial CSR interventions by mining companies. FQM made it clear that it is government’s responsibility to provide education, health, water, and electricity. The absence of binding CSR regulation is a factor why companies are failing to observe the human rights of local communities. Most CSR projects analysed in this report are not sustainable and cannot survive beyond the life of the mine or any withdrawal of the company’s support. The CSR initiatives simply perpetuate the company-community dependency syndrome. There is a real risk from the findings of this study, that Solwezi will be a ghost town when FQM closes its doors after it has extracted all the copper and gold.

It is a growing concern in SADC that mining companies do not invest in long-term projects which can survive after the mining. What FQM will leave behind for the people of Solwezi is the tailing dams which will continue to pollute the land, air, rivers and underground water. The government has clearly not learned from the consequences of mining activities in the Copperbelt, allowing foreign mining activities to proceed and reproduce the same social and environmental consequences. SARW does not support the call for keeping minerals underground, but the impact of Kansanshi Mine on surrounding communities weakens our argument that there is such a thing as “responsible mining”.

What all this suggests is that CSR must be premised upon the notion of a firm’s social accountability and social justice. This cannot happen without independent monitoring and evaluation of mining companies’ behaviour, which is a duty of the government, supported by civil society.

SARW ran serious risks when it published its first research report, which FQM refused to participate in. It seems that FQM initially thought that the political cost of brushing SARW’s efforts aside would be minimal. The road we travelled with FQM to produce this second report has taught us two important lessons. First, civil society must remain faithful to their values and purpose. Second, their resistance to external enquiry is likely to be counterproductive in the long run.

FQM and other mining companies in Southern Africa need to be prepared to open themselves up to rigorous scrutiny by government agents, civil society organisations, and research institutions.

People closely associated with FQM keep asking why SARW is so obsessed with the company. The answer is simply “social justice”.

The lack of any national CSR policy in Zambia is the biggest problem, encouraging inconsistencies and superficial CSR interventions by mining companies. FQM made it clear that it is government’s responsibility to provide education, health, water, and electricity. The absence of binding CSR regulation is a factor why companies are failing to observe the human rights of local communities. Most CSR projects analysed in this report are not sustainable and cannot survive beyond the life of the mine or any withdrawal of the company’s support. The CSR initiatives simply perpetuate the company-community dependency syndrome. There is a real risk from the findings of this study, that Solwezi will be a ghost town when FQM closes its doors after it has extracted all the copper and gold.

It is a growing concern in SADC that mining companies do not invest in long-term projects which can survive after the mining. What FQM will leave behind for the people of Solwezi is the tailing dams which will continue to pollute the land, air, rivers and underground water. The government has clearly not learned from the consequences of mining activities in the Copperbelt, allowing foreign mining activities to proceed and reproduce the same social and environmental consequences. SARW does not support the call for keeping minerals underground, but the impact of Kansanshi Mine on surrounding communities weakens our argument that there is such a thing as “responsible mining”.

What all this suggests is that CSR must be premised upon the notion of a firm’s social accountability and social justice. This cannot happen without independent monitoring and evaluation of mining companies’ behaviour, which is a duty of the government, supported by civil society.

SARW ran serious risks when it published its first research report, which FQM refused to participate in. It seems that FQM initially thought that the political cost of brushing SARW’s efforts aside would be minimal. The road we travelled with FQM to produce this second report has taught us two important lessons. First, civil society must remain faithful to their values and purpose. Second, their resistance to external enquiry is likely to be counterproductive in the long run.

FQM and other mining companies in Southern Africa need to be prepared to open themselves up to rigorous scrutiny by government agents, civil society organisations, and research institutions.

People closely associated with FQM keep asking why SARW is so obsessed with the company. The answer is simply “social justice”.
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**Appendix 1: Participants and stakeholders**

List of Participants at the SARW-FQM roundtable discussion (15/4/2019)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Victor Nsana</td>
<td>FQM Kansanshi Mine</td>
<td>+260 96543055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Phiri</td>
<td>FQM Kansanshi Mine</td>
<td>+260 966995930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charity Mbonshi</td>
<td>FQM Kansanshi Mine</td>
<td>+260 967498785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nalakwanji Lungu</td>
<td>FQM Kansanshi Mine</td>
<td>+260 965755223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrison Songwe</td>
<td>ZEMA</td>
<td>+260 966232843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibson Chingwalala</td>
<td>Water Resources Dept</td>
<td>+260 977647177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zachariah Musonda</td>
<td>Entrepreneur</td>
<td>+260 978153668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gertrude Musunka</td>
<td>FQM Kansanshi Mine</td>
<td>+260 964550518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onward Mandevu</td>
<td>FQM Kansanshi Mine</td>
<td>+260 963808473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Katambo</td>
<td>North Western Agenda for Development</td>
<td>+260 966471360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nikiwe Msoni</td>
<td>Zambia Land Alliance</td>
<td>+260 977113795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedy Tebulu</td>
<td>Kabwela Community</td>
<td>+260 966812132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford Mukankila</td>
<td>Kabwela Community</td>
<td>+260 965919299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenso Kalala</td>
<td>FQM Kansanshi Mine</td>
<td>+260 966117753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arnold Malambo</td>
<td>FQM Kansanshi Mine</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Arnold.malambo@fqml.com">Arnold.malambo@fqml.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Godfrey Msiska</td>
<td>FQM Kansanshi Mine</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Godfrey.msiska@fqm.com">Godfrey.msiska@fqm.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theresa Moyo</td>
<td>Independent Expert</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Theresa.moyo2019@gmail.com">Theresa.moyo2019@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Chief Wangabanya</td>
<td>Solwezi Royal Establishment</td>
<td>+260 962999102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group Leader Munangwa</td>
<td>Solwezi Royal Establishment</td>
<td>+260 963705541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasongo Shaderick</td>
<td>Kyafukuma Community</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Shadrickkasongo@gmail.com">Shadrickkasongo@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chabanya Yolida</td>
<td>Kyafukuma Community</td>
<td>+260 760150447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lokota Vincent</td>
<td>Kyafukuma Community</td>
<td>+260 967446228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Van Wyk</td>
<td>Benchmarks Foundation</td>
<td>+270 826525061(SA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivy Mutwale</td>
<td>Zambia National Education Coalition</td>
<td>+260 966744965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marriot Nyangu</td>
<td>The Policy and Governance</td>
<td>+260 968181820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthur Kaniki</td>
<td>Independent Expert</td>
<td><a href="mailto:arthurkaniki@gmail.com">arthurkaniki@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claude Kabemba</td>
<td>SARW</td>
<td><a href="mailto:claudeK@sarwatch.com">claudeK@sarwatch.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otto Suki</td>
<td>Ford Foundation</td>
<td>+278 29567070(SA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mumba Kolala</td>
<td>Water Resources Management</td>
<td>+260 977397305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Febby Manda Kilele</td>
<td>Ministry of Education</td>
<td>+260 977397305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward Lange</td>
<td>SARW</td>
<td>+260 966533874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pastor Ngolomba</td>
<td>PWYP-Solwezi</td>
<td>+260 977 899145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shikamo Eliake</td>
<td>Youth Alliance for Development</td>
<td>+260 967181743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Mwakwa</td>
<td>Caritas Solwezi</td>
<td>+260 978 161787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namwaka Mukunyandela</td>
<td>Ministry of Health</td>
<td>+260 979151563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Lewis</td>
<td>FQM Kansanshi Mine</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Bruce.lewis@fqm.com">Bruce.lewis@fqm.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**List of participants: Kyafukuma community stakeholders consultation**
(17/04/2019)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>M/ F</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>William Kipenduno</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Community Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victor Malasha</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicholas Kawaya</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graham Kipapa</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millan Kipapa</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pephias Mwanza</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silvester Mwanaute</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter K. Kabelenga</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geoffrey Lukalanga</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mabenga R. Laston</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Group Leader</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kainda Evason</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Pala</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mwanaute Misitele</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kachinda Mufunte</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micheal Kinshetela</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobela Lano</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muleya Rabson</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coster Mumba</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tebox Lokota</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muzabe Jonathan</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June Kabamba</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Mfumbo</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### List of key stakeholders interviewed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laura Mithi</td>
<td>Executive Director</td>
<td>Alliance for Community Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nalucha N. Ziba</td>
<td>Country Director</td>
<td>Action Aid Zambia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeston Lunda</td>
<td>Head of Programs</td>
<td>Action Aid Zambia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Msumuko</td>
<td>Director-General</td>
<td>Zambia Environmental Management Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Jewett Masinja</td>
<td>Lecturer</td>
<td>School of Mines – UNZA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mwelwa Muleya</td>
<td>Chief Information</td>
<td>Education and Training Human Rights Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kims Banda</td>
<td>Chief Investigations and Legal</td>
<td>Human Rights Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florence Chibwesha</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>Human Rights Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berndette Mwakacheya</td>
<td>Acting – Permanent Secretary</td>
<td>Ministry of Mines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxwell Phiri</td>
<td>Provincial Forestry Officer</td>
<td>Department of Forestry - Solwezi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Chibwana</td>
<td>Head of Programs</td>
<td>Council of Churches in Zambia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fr. Emmanuel Chikoya</td>
<td>Secretary-General</td>
<td>Human Rights Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munalula</td>
<td>A/Head of Department</td>
<td>Dept. Development Studies - UNZA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matenga Chrispin</td>
<td>Lecturer</td>
<td>Dept. Development Studies - UNZA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valentine Kalonje</td>
<td>Lecturer Dept.</td>
<td>Development Studies - UNZA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtonga Marian</td>
<td>Post- Graduate Student</td>
<td>Dept. Development Studies - UNZA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felix Ngosa</td>
<td>Project Officer – Joint Country Program</td>
<td>Norwegian Church Aid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalen Banda</td>
<td>Director Legal Services</td>
<td>Zambia Environmental Management Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrison Songwe</td>
<td>Principal Inspector</td>
<td>Zambia Environmental Management Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simon Mwansa</td>
<td>Director of Finance</td>
<td>Zambia Environmental Management Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irene Chipili</td>
<td>Manager of Corporate Affairs</td>
<td>Zambia Environmental Management Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talent Ngandwe</td>
<td>Deputy Chief Executive Officer</td>
<td>Zambia Chamber of Mines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4 notwithstanding the above, our Client received a letter from you dated 18 May 2020 ("your Letter") requesting our Client's response to certain 'key findings' and allegations made by you in respect of our Client's mining operations and which key findings and allegations you intend to publish in a report ("the Allegations").

4 We have considered the Allegations, and our Client's response thereto, a copy of which is attached as an annexure to this letter, and we hereby place on record the following –

4.1 Our client has, from the outset, notified you as to the substantial factual, scientific and legal inaccuracies of your statements and the research conducted by you in respect of the Mine, which inaccuracies have nevertheless been alleged and included in your Letter.

4.2 Whilst our Client has endeavoured to address each of the allegations made in your Letter, our client does not consent to, nor approve of, the basis on which the allegations are made, or the publication of any report.

4.3 We are of the opinion, and have accordingly advised our Client, that the allegations made in your Letter –

4.3.1 are untrue and extremely defamatory of and concerning our Client; and

4.3.2 appear to be underpinned with bias and predetermined ideas of our Client, which opinion is supported by the statements made on your website, for example, that one of the main functions of what you do is "Monitoring FQM's Activities in Zambia".

5 In the premises, we have been instructed to inform you, as we hereby do, that should you publish a report which contains the Allegations regarding our Client which are untrue and defamatory, despite the detailed response thereto by our Client in the annexure to this letter, we have been instructed by our Client to issue a summons against you and this letter will be placed before the court in order to prove that you were fully aware of the fact that the Allegations were based on facts which were not true and which could not reasonably have been thought to have been true and request the court to award a punitive costs order against you.

6 Our Client's rights in this regard remain strictly reserved.

7 Kindly acknowledge receipt hereof.

Yours faithfully

J G THERON

WERKSMANS ATTORNEYS

9 June 2020

Dear Sir

KANSANSI MINING PLC / SOUTHERN AFRICA RESEARCH WATCH

1 We refer to the above matter and confirm that we have been instructed to address this letter to you on behalf of First Quantum Minerals Limited / Kansanshi Mining plc ("FQM" or "our Client").

2 We confirm that we are au fait with the relationship between you and our client, which commenced during the beginning of 2019, and in terms of which you have published, or threaten to publish, untrue defamatory statements of and concerning our Client and its mining operations in Solwezi, Zambia ("the Mine").

3 We have been instructed further by our Client that –

3.1 during February 2019 you published, or caused to be published, an article in the Lusaka Times which contained untrue defamatory statements ("your Statements") of or about our Client;

3.2 our Client subsequently advised you that your statements were untrue and defamatory, and requested you to have the article removed;

3.3 you observed our Client's request and the article was removed, and you accepted our Client's conduct a joint validation mission to the Mine in order to, in your own words, "prove that you were fully aware of the fact that the Allegations were based on facts which were not true and which could not reasonably have been thought to have been true and request the court to award a punitive costs order against you.

5 In the premises, we have been instructed to inform you, as we hereby do, that should you publish a report which contains the Allegations regarding our Client which are untrue and defamatory, despite the detailed response thereto by our Client in the annexure to this letter, we have been instructed by our Client to issue a summons against you and this letter will be placed before the court in order to prove that you were fully aware of the fact that the Allegations were based on facts which were not true and which could not reasonably have been thought to have been true and request the court to award a punitive costs order against you.

6 Our Client's rights in this regard remain strictly reserved.

7 Kindly acknowledge receipt hereof.

Yours faithfully

J G THERON

WERKSMANS ATTORNEYS

9 June 2020

Dear Sir

KANSANSI MINING PLC / SOUTHERN AFRICA RESEARCH WATCH

1 We refer to the above matter and confirm that we have been instructed to address this letter to you on behalf of First Quantum Minerals Limited / Kansanshi Mining plc ("FQM" or "our Client").

2 We confirm that we are au fait with the relationship between you and our client, which commenced during the beginning of 2019, and in terms of which you have published, or threaten to publish, untrue defamatory statements of and concerning our Client and its mining operations in Solwezi, Zambia ("the Mine").

3 We have been instructed further by our Client that –

3.1 during February 2019 you published, or caused to be published, an article in the Lusaka Times which contained untrue defamatory statements ("your Statements") of or about our Client;

3.2 our Client subsequently advised you that your statements were untrue and defamatory, and requested you to have the article removed;

3.3 you observed our Client's request and the article was removed, and you accepted our Client's
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18 May 2020

Dear Mr Bruce Lewis,

This communication serves as an official request for a response to our research findings on your company’s corporate governance and social responsibility. The details of some key findings are below. We seek your response to these findings and some questions regarding specific issues.

Please provide an official reply in two weeks, by 31 May 2020, as we plan to publish the report in June 2020. Your response will help adjust our findings where necessary.

Research Findings

On labour relations
Six per cent of Kansanshi’s directly employed staff at the time of data compilation was expatriate, all in management and supervisory positions.

Contract workers do not have access to company’s health facilities. They are not reflected in the company’s health and other statistics on the general health of the workforce.

Philip KR Pascall is the global CEO of FQM. Until recently his relative Matt Pascall was the Director of Operations of FQM in Zambia. We consider this to have been a case of nepotism.

On gender and disability considerations
There is no woman in the top management of the company. This indicates that FQM does not have a progressive gender policy. During our conversation, the responses provided by the management demonstrated a lack of basic understanding of gender mainstreaming concepts as well as tools that can be utilised by the company for its human resources strategy.

We also found that there is absolutely no reference in company policy to disabled people.

Can the company share segregated information on labour by race, gender, and positions? We could not find this on the company website.

On employees’ housing
FQM prides itself for having built Kibitka Hills for its employees. The houses in Kibitka belong to those workers who qualify for loans to purchase them. Rather than being a corporate social responsibility initiative, this is a business opportunity for FQM. If Kibitka is for middle managers, where do the workers live? If they live in Solwezi, is this CSR?

Kibitka and the Golf Estate are enclaves where outsiders are fenced out. Security is tight, visits must be bureaucratically approved, and taking photos without permission is an offense. Is this FQM’s approach to social cohesion for workers and their families?

On impacts of mining activities on the health of communities and the environment
The company claims “zero discharge” from its operation. How does FQM explain persistent and sustained complaints from the communities of dust, air and water pollution from the mine.

According to the FQM reports, the company extracts only gold and copper at its Kansanshi operation. However, the mineralogy of Kansanshi shows the existence of other substances (including brannerite, silica, arsenic, nitrates and nitriles) that might be extracted together with gold and copper. We are concerned that if these substances end up on the tailing dams, and if not properly treated, they can have serious negative impacts in the long run on the environment (land, water) and on the health of communities living near the mine. Can you confirm the existence of these substances?

If the answer is yes, then:

a) Why does the company not report on these substances, which are dangerous to the environment and hazardous to the health of communities?

b) What measures does the company have in place to mitigate the impact of these substances when released into the environment?

FQM Kansanshi officers promised to avail the statistics generated from a household health survey that they conducted in Solwezi, but SARW had not received the information at the time of finalising this report. Is it possible to have access to this report?
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On the concentrator

The management informed us of frequent tests of water and soil. However, the results of these tests on the quality of soil and water are not publicly available and were not presented during the research visit; not even the list of parameters analysed were presented. It seems that either the checking is incomplete, or the results are deliberately hidden.

At the concentrator and the hydrometallurgical plant, wastewater from floatation and the hydrometallurgical process is pumped into the storage ponds or tailings storage facilities (TSF), where it can settle, permeate, and evaporate, leaving solid waste. The water balance in the floatation circuit and the hydrometallurgical plant is not provided. The same applies to the volume of freshwater that is fed into the plant or discharged into the TSF.

SARW requested permission to take water samples around the concentrator for testing. Why was permission denied?

SARW researchers believe that the drainage water from the site and the wastewater (mine drainage water, process water, maintenance water, cooling water and run-off water) which leach and flow into the surface water with suspended materials are a potential risk of pollution.

How safe is drainage water from the site and the wastewater from the concentrator?

The Smelter: potential impact on the environment and health

The company reported to the SARW research team that in order to improve ambient air quality measurement, in 2017 Kansanshi installed a solar-powered continuous ambient air quality monitoring station in the immediate community, downwind of the smelter. Our main contention is that the results collected are never shared with the community to allay their fears. SARW’s visit to the site did not find the equipment in place, although there was an indication that it may have been there before.

Although the mine has made significant efforts to reduce the maximum emission from its smelter, there is still emission released into the atmosphere and the company ought to report on this. FQM employees confirmed that more work is needed to ensure the zero discharge.

SARW suspects that Sulphur dioxide emissions from the Kansanshi smelter are the reason for the low pH of rainwater to the west of the mining operation, for the leaf burn on plants, and also for the prevalent rust on metallic roofs, structures and poles. SARW
Appendix 5:
Right of reply letter to FQM

It seems that Kansanshi Mine has ignored both its own policy and the country’s legislation. SARW considers FQM negligent for failing to monitor the impact of its activities or to follow up on people’s complaints. The company has failed to demonstrate that it is not polluting water and that its activities are not affecting agricultural productivity of the surrounding land. The company does not provide in its sustainability reports meaningful information on the impact of its activities on biodiversity and the ecosystem.

SARW was refused permission to take a sample from the tailing dams for testing. Testing could have helped to understand the mineralogy on these tailing dams and to alleviate fears from communities that these tailing dams might be a risk to their health.

Kansanshi’s corporate social responsibility

The company is working with local communities in the development of infrastructure, education, health, and agriculture, through the Kansanshi Foundation.

SARW found that a number of these initiatives are helpful but not sustainable in the long run. The Kansanshi Foundation has the appearance of a deceptive image-building tool and lacks any sustainability mechanism. If the company should close today, there is no guarantee that any initiative would continue thereafter.

Who owns Kansanshi Foundation, and how are developmental decisions (CSR) made?

a) What role does the community play?

b) Who participates on behalf of the community?

c) What sustainability measures does the company put in place?

Infrastructure

FQM claims to have built the Solwezi-Chingola road, but when SARW enquired, it was revealed that the road was constructed by the Zambian government through the Ministry of Housing and Infrastructure Development. Is this a misrepresentation?

Apparently, FQM involvement in the construction of roads in the community of Solwezi was based on a swap or barter arrangement according to a memorandum of understanding (MOU) between the Solwezi Municipal Council and Kansanshi Mine through the FQM Roads Department. This was done in such a way that instead of paying rates to the council, Kansanshi worked on the roads so that the bills were written off. Is this a correct assessment? if so, this activity cannot be listed as CSR.
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How much did the company spend on the construction of the Chingola-Solwezi road, and in what capacity?

Education

The company intervention and support in education can be divided into three types: education for the poor, education for workers’ children, and education for the rich. The company has segregated the schools by class, with the poorest receiving the lowest quality of education. This is an apartheid-style education system. This segregation diminishes the value of the company’s intervention in education and exposes Kansanshi’s poor understanding of CSR. This is the first time that SARW has encountered a divisive type of education being provided by a mining company as part of their CSR.

The company denies that the subsidy awarded to the elite schools is part of their CSR, although during the meeting held with SARW the subsidy was reported to be part of CSR. Are Kabitaka School and Trident College part of the Company’s CSR, and if so, in what way?

School feeding is a very important initiative. However, we are concerned that the food is imported and genetically modified. Are locally produced and natural alternatives not available?

Health

The extent of FQM’s activities in the field of health is difficult to assess given that their value is neither quantified nor presented in terms of objectives to be attained as noted in terms of social impact. It seems that the company focuses mostly on public health awareness, which makes it difficult to quantify direct or indirect impact on targeted beneficiaries. This makes it look like a populist approach rather than an issue-driven intervention.

Agriculture

Many of the farmers interviewed by SARW reported that they were dissatisfied with conservation farming methods and their impact, arguing that it is too labour-intensive and time-consuming. To them, it is a disempowering farming technique because they contend that it reduces the potential income that they could make. In Kyafukuma, many have abandoned it, preferring to go back to traditional farming methods. Also, FQM encourages them to grow maize instead of encouraging crops such as potatoes and beans which are compatible with the local soil and climate. This is not sustainable.
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The company’s conservation farming approach is problematic in at least two ways. Firstly, it overlooks national laws and policies; secondly, it supports farming in dangerous and prohibited spaces such as under high-voltage power supply lines and in the river basin.

SARW suspects that the seeds used in this scheme are hybrid seeds obtained from the South African seed producer Pannar. Pannar is a major producer of genetically modified (GMO) maize and markets itself as a producer of glyphosate-tolerant GMO maize that kills birds, worms and weeds. Can you confirm this?

What methodology was used to arrive at the decision to provide agricultural support to the community in this particular way (conservation farming)?

The land question: displacement and compensation

With authorisation from government to acquire land used by communities, but without consultation, FQM moved people from Kyafukuma off the land. This was farmland which people used to produce food, and also to produce crops for trading.

One major gap discovered in the entire process of displacement, resettlement and compensation is a total disregard for the principle of free, prior and informed consent. Decisions are made by the company without any formal consultations or engagement with the community concerning the best way forward on the issue of land. It is clear that there has been collusion between the company and the government on the issue of land, which has disadvantaged the local people (especially in Kyafukuma village).

What rationale did the company use to apply for the extension of the land into Mbonge Forest towards Kyafukuma?

   a) Did the company undertake any baseline perception survey so as to understand the traditional value and beliefs of the local inhabitants?
   b) Is the company comfortable with the existing mistrust and conflict over land with the community?

In addition to a formal response to specific questions relating to the research findings, we would be grateful for your responses to the following questions regarding production and profits at your operations at Kansanshi:

1. Is there any relationship between your production levels, profits, and the taxes that FQM pays to the government of Zambia?

2. What closure plan is in place for the development of surrounding communities after mining operations have ended?

Thanking you for your time.

Yours sincerely,

Claude Kabemba
Executive Director
SARW Objectives

Monitor corporate and state conduct in the extraction and beneficiation of natural resources in Southern Africa, and assess to what extent these activities uplift the economic conditions of the region’s communities.

- Generate and consolidate research and advocacy on natural resource extraction in Southern Africa.
- Create informed awareness of the specific dynamics of natural resources in Southern Africa, building a distinctive understanding of the regional geo-political dynamics of resource economics.
- Provide a platform of action, coordination and organisation for communities, activists, researchers, policy-makers, corporations, regional and global governing bodies in the watching and strengthening of corporate and state accountability in extractive industries.
- Engage with and support government on building accountable and transparent management of extractive resources.
- Build capacity for communities, civil society, parliaments, and media to hold governments and corporations to account, and to participate in decisions about resource management.
- Advocate and promote human rights and environmental protection in resource extraction activities.
- Support efforts to legislate mandatory public disclosure of and access to financial, social, environmental and regulatory compliance information in the extractives industry.
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